UNITED STATES; DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x 19-CR-227(LJV-MJR)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

Buffalo, New York

JOSEPH BONGIOVANNI, August 6, 2024

Defendant. ----x

JURY TRIAL EXCERPT - TESTIMONY OF DALE KASPRYZK

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE J. VILARDO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TRINI E. ROSS, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

BY: JOSEPH M. TRIPI, AUSA
BY: NICHOLAS T. COOPER, AUSA
BY: CASEY L. CHALBECK, AUSA

Federal Centre

138 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

FOR DEFENDANT: SINGER LEGAL PLLC

BY: ROBERT CHARLES SINGER, ESQ.

80 East Spring Street

Williamsville, New York 14221

-and-

LAW OFFICE OF PARKER ROY MacKAY

BY: PARKER ROY MacKAY, ESQ.

3110 Delaware Avenue Kenmore, New York 14217

COURT REPORTER: Diane S. Martens

dmartensreporter@gmail.com

	Case	1:19 er 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 2 of 186
		2 Kasprzyk – Direct – Tripi
	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	* * *
	3	
	4	(WHEREUPON, the following is an excerpt taken
	5	from proceedings.)
	6	
	7	DALE KASPRZYK, called as a witness, being duly sworn,
	8	testifies as follows:
	9	MR. TRIPI: May I inquire, your Honor?
9:48AM	10	THE COURT: You may.
	11	MR. TRIPI: Thank you, your Honor.
	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TRIPI:
	13	Q Good morning, Mr. Kasprzyk.
	14	A Good morning, sir.
9:48AM	15	Q Where do you currently reside?
	16	A I currently reside in Estero, Florida.
	17	Q And how long have you been a Florida resident?
	18	A Ten months.
	19	Q Prior to that, where did you live?
9:48AM	20	A Prior to that, I lived in the Western New York
	21	area. I lived primarily in Orchard Park, New York.
	22	Q What do you currently do for a living?
	23	A I am the head of the financial investigations unit
	24	for M&T Bank.
9:48AM	25	Q How long have you been doing that work?

	Case	1:19 er 0022	27 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 3 of 186
			Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
9:48AM	1	A	A little over ten years.
	2	Q	Prior to that role at M&T Bank, what did you do for
	3	work?	
	4	А	I was employed by the Drug Enforcement
9:49AM	5	Administr	ation.
	6	Q	How many years were you employed by the DEA, for
	7	short?	
	8	A	I worked for the DEA for approximately 25 years.
	9	1989 to 2	013.
9:49AM	10	Q	And during your tenure, what different places did
	11	the DEA h	ave you assigned, locations?
	12	A	When I was initially hired, I attended basic agent
	13	training	and that was in Quantico, Virginia. And upon
	14	graduatio	n from the training academy, I was assigned to the
9:49AM	15	Buffalo o	ffice.
	16	Q	Are you from the Buffalo area originally?
	17	А	Originally I'm from Buffalo.
	18	Q	And so you worked essentially your whole career
	19	after the	academy in the Buffalo office?
9:49AM	20	А	Yes, sir.
	21	Q	When you graduated from the academy, what was your
	22	title?	
	23	А	I was a Special Agent.
	24	Q	I want to talk a little bit about that training.
9:50AM	25	Can you t	ell this jury what the training is like to become a
	,	i	

9:50AM 1 DEA Special Agent, please?

9:50AM

9:50AM

9:51AM

9:51AM

9:51AM

A The training consists of a number of courses and modules, training modules. You learn the law, the federal law as it relates to the Controlled Substances Act. You learn how to conduct street operations, how to do surveillance, how to initiate -- do controlled buys of narcotics. You learn about DEA policy and procedures. You also learn about weapons handling, how to shoot. You learn about how to protect yourself through physical training. So it's a, it's an extensive academy several months long.

Q How long -- that was my next question. How long is the academy?

A When I went through, it was about 16 weeks, about four months long.

Q Prior to joining the DEA and going through the application process, did you have any other law enforcement experience?

A Yes, sir.

Q What was your background prior to that?

A I was a police officer with the Reno Police

Department in Reno, Nevada. I joined the Reno Police

Department in 1982. Left there in approximately 1987 as a police lieutenant. And then I came back to Buffalo where I was employed by the Erie County District Attorney's office as a criminal investigator.

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 5 of 186 - 1
		5 Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
9:51AM	1	Q And prior I'm working backwards prior to
	2	joining that Reno Police Department, did you have any formal
	3	education?
	4	A Yes, sir. I attended Buffalo State College,
9:51AM	5	college at Buffalo. Not sure what they call it now.
	6	Q I think it's University now.
	7	A Yes, thank you, sir. It was here in Buffalo.
	8	Q And did you graduate with a degree from that
	9	school?
9:52AM	10	A Yes, sir, I graduated with a bachelor's degree in
	11	criminal justice.
	12	Q Now I would like to get back to the DEA training in
	13	the academy.
	14	Does that training consist of specific techniques
9:52AM	15	that the DEA trains agents in to learn how to investigate
	16	narcotics cases?
	17	A Yes, sir.
	18	Q And I want to go through those in just a moment but
	19	what is the DEA's mission?
9:52AM	20	A Our mission is to investigate violations of the
	21	Controlled Substances Act, Title 21.
	22	Q And does the DEA have what are called Field Offices
	23	all over the country?
	24	A Yes, sir.
9:52AM	25	Q Are those offices limited to the Continental United

	Case	1:19 cr 0022	
			6 Kasprzyk – Direct – Tripi
9:52AM	1	States or	does it extend beyond that?
	2	А	No, sir. We have offices in international
	3	locations	, as well.
	4	Q	When you graduate from the DEA academy, do you take
9:53AM	5	an oath?	
	6	А	Yes, sir.
	7	Q	What is that oath?
	8	А	That oath is to uphold the laws and the
	9	Constitut	ion of the United States of America, to be true to
9:53AM	10	the missi	on of the DEA, and to protect and honor the
	11	organizat	ion.
	12	Q	Is honesty an important value instilled in agents
	13	at the DE	A academy?
	14	А	Yes, sir, it is.
9:53AM	15	Q	Is integrity important in your work as a DEA agent?
	16	А	Yes, sir.
	17	Q	Is truthfulness in report writing and accuracy
	18	something	that is important as a DEA agent?
	19	А	Yes, sir.
9:53AM	20	Q	Explain why.
	21	А	When you work as a federal narcotics agent, you are
	22	expected	to, to be honest in your interactions with other
	23	agents.	You're expected to be honest in your interactions
	24	with your	managers and your supervisors. It goes to the
9:54AM	25	integrity	of the investigation. It goes to your integrity

A That would be a conflict of interest. And that would impact the integrity of the investigation and that would impact the agent's ability to make good decisions as it relates to the course of that investigation.

22

23

24

25

9:55AM

Q I'd like to get back to that topic in a little bit but getting back to the specific topics that the DEA trains

traffickers to communicate with other drug traffickers or

other members of the Drug Trafficking Organization. As a

to use techniques to identify the telephone number that's

federal narcotics agent working for the DEA, you are trained

22

23

24

25

9:56AM

9:56AM 1 being used by the drug trafficker. And once that number is
2 obtained, you can then apply for either a pen register or
3 subpoena toll records or maybe even go up on a Title III
4 wiretap of that communication device. Again, using those
9:57AM 5 techniques to gather information and evidence to support your
6 investigation.

Q And what do you mean by a toll record subpoena?

A That is a subpoena that we would send to the provider of the cell phone company. So, for example, if your cell phone company was Verizon, we would send a subpoena to Verizon and Verizon would send us the toll records, so, the records of any calls made by that cell phone.

Q And what is a pen register?

A A pen register is a Court-authorized device that is installed at the cell phone switch that allows the agents to collect information contemporaneous to the actual call being made. So when the call is made, the pen register will activate and it will show the call that is being made by the cell phone.

Q And does the DEA train agents on how to utilize information returned from phone record subpoenas and pen registers to advance investigations into organizations?

A Yes, sir.

Q Does the DEA train agents on how to develop and utilize confidential sources?

9:57AM

12 13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

2.5

7

8

9

10

11

9:57AM

18

19

9:58AM

23

9:58AM

9:58AM 1 A Yes, sir.

2.1

9:58AM

9:59AM

9:59AM

9:59AM

10:00AM

Q How does the DEA train agents to utilize confidential sources?

A Confidential sources are an important part of a drug investigation. A confidential source, or a source of information, is a person who often is interacting directly with the drug traffickers and those interactions can be shared with the agent. And it helps the agent not only understand what is happening within the drug trafficking organization, but potentially could lead to the path of making a controlled buy from that particular drug trafficker.

Q And what is a controlled buy?

A A controlled buy is when a confidential informant -- a documented confidential informant -- will come to the DEA office, meet with his assigned controlling agent, be given agency funds, money that is serialized that is provided to him from the DEA. He's then equipped with a transmitter, a device which allows us to listen and record the conversation that would happen. And then that individual is put under surveillance and sent out to meet with the drug trafficker to purchase narcotics with those funds that were provided by the DEA. Once the purchase is complete, the informant would meet back with his controlling agent, deliver the drugs to them and that would conclude the controlled buy.

Q I'd like to ask you about a few more techniques

 $\perp \perp$

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:00AM 1 that agents are trained upon, okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:00AM

10:00AM

10:01AM

10:01AM

10:01AM

Are agents trained in the technique of doing a trash pull at the academy?

- A Yes, sir.
- **Q** What is a trash pull?

A So a trash pull is a technique that DEA agents will use to help gather evidence from a suspected drug trafficker. A trash pull is initiated through surveillance. You would conduct surveillance operations on a target. You would determine what day was trash day and then you would travel out in the, in the midnight hours and collect that, that target's trash that was left at the curb and go through that trash to determine if there was any evidence of drug trafficking activity within the trash.

Q And are agents trained in the use of pole cameras as a surveillance technique?

- A Yes, sir.
- **Q** And what is a pole camera surveillance technique as agents are trained on by the DEA?

A A pole camera is a device, a camera, a visual device that's set up in a public location. And that public location would be used to place the camera and the camera would be then directed towards an area of concern that the agents had identified as part of the drug trafficking investigation that they were engaging in. So, an example

10:01AM	1	might be a particular residence or business location where
	2	the target was doing business as a drug trafficker, that
	3	camera would be focused on that area and would allow the
	4	agents to monitor the activity remotely from another location
10:02AM	5	without having to have physical surveillance in the area.
	6	Q So, example, through use of technology, could
	7	agents sometimes monitor pole cameras from their phones while
	8	they're in their home?
	9	A I'm not sure, sir I retired in 2013 if the
10:02AM	10	technology has advanced that far.
	11	Q Fair enough. Fair enough.
	12	A Back in 2013 we would be able to monitor it from
	13	the office and see the activity.
	14	Q And now you mentioned earlier Title III wiretaps?
10:02AM	15	A Right.
	16	Q I'll ask you to describe the training in that
	17	regard in just a moment. But are all of the techniques that
	18	you've just discussed, surveillance, pen registers, phone
	19	records subpoenas, trash pulls, pole cameras, are those all
10:02AM	20	different investigative techniques that need to be either
	21	attempted or utilized or considered before an agent is
	22	allowed to apply for a Title III wiretap?
	23	A Yes, I would say that those are often the
	24	techniques that are utilized beforehand, not necessarily
10:03AM	25	required before we go to a Title III but certainly are

10:03AM 1 techniques that we would -- that I would encourage as a group 2 supervisor to have the agent consider as part of the 3 application process.

Q And is that part of the requirement for a Title III exhaustion, you have to either use or consider other techniques before you use the technique of a wiretap?

A Yes, sir, that's correct.

Q And that's before the Department of Justice would authorize you to even try to use a wiretap --

A Correct.

Q -- is that right? What is a wiretap? And then we'll move on from the training.

A A wiretap is -- again, the wiretap affidavit and warrant is issued by a Court -- a District Court Judge -- would sign an authorization for a Title III wiretap. Once that authorization is obtained by the agent, the agent is entitled to meet with the provider of the phone company. And, again, using Verizon as an example, you would meet with Verizon, present with them the wiretap order and that would then allow equipment to be installed where agents at a listening post, most often at the DEA office, would be able to listen to phone conversations that the trafficker was having contemporaneous to when those conversations were occurring. So, if the trafficker picked up the phone to make a call, the agents in the office would be able to listen to

10:03AM

10:03AM

10:04AM

10:04AM

10:04AM

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 14 of 186
		14 Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
10:04AM	1	that call as it was occurring.
	2	Q So when did you graduate from the DEA academy?
	3	A 1989.
	4	${f Q}$ And you mentioned it a moment ago, you retired in
10:04AM	5	2013?
	6	A Yes, sir.
	7	Q So, in between those two points in time, you worked
	8	here in Buffalo?
	9	A Yes, sir.
10:04AM	10	Q What were the different positions you held within
	11	the Buffalo DEA office?
	12	A I was a special agent. I was a acting group
	13	supervisor. I was a group supervisor. And then I was the
	14	resident agent in charge of the office.
10:05AM	15	Q I'd like to go through each of those positions you
	16	held just briefly, okay?
	17	A Yes, sir.
	18	${f Q}$ What was your role in the DEA Buffalo office as a
	19	DEA special agent?
10:05AM	20	A I worked as a special agent when I first came to
	21	the office for a number of years. I worked in both the
	22	Enforcement Group Delta 57, as well as the Task Force Group
	23	Delta 58 as a special agent.
	24	${f Q}$ Now you just mentioned two groups. Can you explain
10:05AM	25	that for the jury?

10:05AM	1	A Yes, sir. At during my time in the office,
	2	there were two enforcement groups within the DEA office in
	3	Buffalo. One group was our, our primarily agents group. It
	4	was our Delta 57 group. That had a combination of agents and
10:05AM	5	task force agents. And then we had a task force group known
	6	as Delta 58, D-58. That was a group that had some agents but
	7	had a higher population of task force agents.
	8	Q And what is a task force agent? So when you say
	9	agents, are you referring to a DEA special agent who's gone
10:06AM	10	through the academy and sworn the oath that you've
	11	described
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q correct? Now that other term you've used "task
	14	force agent", is that also called a task force officer?
10:06AM	15	A Yes, sir.
	16	Q Okay. I'm going to use the term "task force
	17	officer", if that's okay.
	18	A That's fine.
	19	Q What is a task force officer at the DEA? Can you
10:06AM	20	explain what that is for the jury?
	21	A Yes, sir.
	22	A task force officer is a police officer or deputy
	23	sheriff that comes to us from one of the local law
	24	enforcement agencies. So, it could be the Erie County
10:06AM	25	Sheriff's Department. It could be the Buffalo Police

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

Department. A variety of police officers and deputy sheriffs
are represented at the DEA office as task force officers.

And is their role to assist in drug enforcement

And is their role to assist in drug enforcement investigations in the mission of the DEA?

A Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:07AM

10:07AM

10:07AM

10:07AM

10:08AM

Q Are task force officers often paired up with a, with a DEA special agent to partner up at times?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is the purpose of that from your time as working at the DEA?

A Generally, the task force officer is paired with a special agent because the special agent has greater knowledge and understanding of the federal drug laws. They have a greater understanding of how to work a drug investigation based upon their, their time at the DEA academy. So when task force officers come into the office and they're, they're trying to find their way and understand how to do the job of a DEA agent, we will often almost always pair them up with a special agent to give them that mentorship and guidance.

Q And you indicated you were also an acting group supervisor and a group supervisor within the DEA. What is that role?

A Well, when I was the acting group supervisor, it was typically when the group supervisor was away on extended leave. And at the Buffalo office I was the acting group

Τ

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

supervisor of the Delta 58 Task Force for a number of months
while the permanent supervisor was on leave. When you're the
acting group supervisor, you assume all of the duties and
responsibilities as the group supervisor.

Q And what does a group supervisor do?

A The group supervisor provides direction and guidance to the agents and task force officers to assist them as they conduct their investigations. The group supervisor will assist in the establishment of new cases, will assist in the establishment of confidential informants, and will also assist on street operations, enforcement operations that are occurring on the street.

Q And eventually you became the Resident Agent in Charge of that office?

A Yes, sir.

 ${f Q}$ Approximately when did you become the Resident Agent in Charge?

A Would have been approximately 2011.

Q Until your retirement?

A Yes, sir.

Q And what is the role of a Resident Agent in Charge?

A The Resident Agent in Charge is the manager of the office. So, at the time we had the two enforcement groups that I mentioned: D-57, D-58. We also had a diversion group that was located within the Buffalo office. So I would be

10:08AM

6

7

5

8

10:08AM 10

11 12

13

14

15

10:09AM

16

17 18

19

10:09AM 20

21

2223

24

25

10:09AM

Τ

Kasprzyk -	Direct	- Tr	ipi
------------	--------	------	-----

10:09AM 1 responsible for the overall management of the office. The
2 GSs on all of those groups would report directly to me.
3 Q GS is group supervisor?

A Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:10AM

10:10AM

10:10AM

10:10AM

10:11AM

Q Resident Agent in Charge is "RAC"?

A Yes, sir.

 ${\bf Q}$ $\,\,$ And if the jury hears the term "SA", does that mean special agent?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. As the RAC, for example, is one of your duties to manage the budget?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now I'd like to talk a little bit about case work and the division of labor in the DEA office, okay?

A Yes, sir.

Q What -- are you familiar with the term "case agent"?

A Yes.

Q What does the term "case agent" mean?

A So if you are a designated case agent, you are the manager of a particular criminal investigation. The case agent is typically the, the agent that opens up the investigation. He or she is the person that initiated the case and he is controlling all aspects of that investigation.

Q And as a case agent on a case, are DEA special

Τ 2

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

- 10:11AM 1 agents required as part of their duties to initiate cases as 2 agents?
 - 3 A Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:11AM

10:11AM

10:11AM

10:12AM

10:12AM

- **Q** Who are the people who drive the casework that the DEA does in any given office?
- A Typically the special agents are the employees that drive the new case investigations.
 - **Q** Who makes decisions about what cases to initiate?
- A It is the special agents that make that initial decision.
- **Q** And supervisors approve?
 - A Supervisors discuss and approve.
- **Q** Who makes decisions on a day-to-day basis about what to do or not do in a DEA case?
 - A That would be the case agent.
- 16 **Q** Now, other people who work in the DEA Buffalo
 17 office, are you familiar with the role of an intel analyst?
- 18 A Yes.
 - **Q** What is the role of an intel analyst?
 - A The intelligence analyst at the DEA office would provide support and assistance. So, if an agent wanted to develop background on a particular suspect, he would go to the intel analyst and request that that person conduct as much background research on that individual as they could.
 - Q Does that often include an agent asking an intel

2(

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

analyst to issue subpoenas for phone numbers or other items? 10:12AM 1 That may, that may be part of those duties, that's 2 3 right. As it relates to the dynamic between case agents 10:12AM 5 and intel analysts, who's in charge of a given investigation? It is the case agent that is in charge of the 6 investigation. 7 Other than intel analysts, are there other support 8 9 staff that are employed in the DEA office? 10:13AM We have forfeiture analysts. Those are generally 10 11 contractors but they do work in the DEA office. And they 12 would support the case agent as part of the investigation if 13 they were conducting forfeiture activities. 14 As to the dynamic between the case agent and a forfeiture analyst, who's in charge of making decisions on a 10:13AM 15 16 given investigation? 17 It is the case agent. Who decides when to open a specific investigation 18 within the DEA? 19 10:13AM It is the case agent that initially develops the 20 21 case and brings that information to the office for the case 22 opening. And who decides when to initiate closure of a DEA 23 case file? 24

It is the case agent.

10:14AM

25

Α

	Case	1:19 cr 0022	
			21 Kasprzyk – Direct – Tripi
10:14AM	1	Q	Do you know Joseph Bongiovanni?
	2	А	I do.
	3	Q	How do you know him?
	4	А	I know him through my time working with Joe at the
10:14AM	5	DEA Buffa	lo office.
	6	Q	Did you spend some time working with him at when
	7	you were	a special agent yourself?
	8	А	Yes, sir.
	9	Q	And then ultimately did you become his group
10:14AM	10	superviso	r for a period of time?
	11	А	Yes, sir.
	12	Q	And later on once you became the RAC, you're in
	13	charge of	the whole office, was he still working in Buffalo
	14	under you	as the RAC of the office?
10:14AM	15	А	Yes, sir, he was.
	16	Q	How many years in total would you say between your
	17	time as a	group supervisor or a Resident Agent in Charge
	18	would you	have had some type of supervisory role over
	19	Mr. Bongi	ovanni?
10:15AM	20	А	I would say four to five years.
	21	Q	Does that include time as a GS and a RAC?
	22	А	Yes, sir.
	23	Q	How many years were you his group supervisor
	24	directly?	
10:15AM	25	А	Approximately two and a half years.
		1	

He's sitting between defense counsel. Dark gray

MR. TRIPI: Your Honor, may the record reflect the

10:15AM

10:15AM

10:15AM

10:16AM

10:16AM

10:16AM

21

22

23

24

25

Α

in-court identification, please?

THE COURT: It does.

suit.

10:16AM 1 MR. TRIPI: Thank you. During the defendant's tenure in the DEA that 2 3 overlapped with yours, were there certain people that he partnered up with or worked closely with? 4 10:16AM 5 Α Yes, sir. And can you name some of those people that you 6 recall he worked closely with on cases? 7 There were a number of task force officers that Joe 8 Α 9 worked with. I think initially Joe was partnered up with Phil Torre. Phil was a Buffalo police officer assigned to 10:17AM 10 11 the office. After Phil, I believe it was Tom Doctor 12 (phonetic) that Joe most often worked with. Tom Doctor, 13 again, was a Buffalo police officer assigned to the task 14 force office, or task force group. After Tom Doctor, it was 15 Joe Palmieri. Joe Palmieri was, I believe, a Tonawanda 10:17AM 16 detective who had come to the Buffalo office and was working 17 with Joe. So that would be the three task force officers who had extended, you know, time working with Joe. 18 19 And as to that dynamic, he would have been the DEA Q 10:17AM special agent that went through the training we've discussed 20 21 and they were the task force officers from local agencies, 22 correct? 23 That's correct. Α 24 Now, were there any other special agents that the Q

defendant seemed close with or worked with from time to time

10:18AM

25

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:18AM 1 in the office?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:18AM

10:18AM

10:19AM

10:19AM

10:19AM

A Through the years, Joe worked with a number of agents. I would say most recently when I was managing Joe, the one agent that he maybe worked the most with would have been Mike Hill.

Q And Mike Hill, unlike those other three, was a special agent?

A Correct.

Q Now, during your tenure from your group supervisor level on through your time as the RAC at the DEA office -- let me withdraw that before I get there.

How are agents and task force officers paired up? Are they assigned or does that happen organically? Do you know what I mean by that?

A I do. Generally, most often it happens organically.

Q Okay. So, task force officers come into the office, they kind of meet people or have relationships already and start working with people?

A That's exactly right.

Q Okay. Are there ever times where an agent will sort of pitch or refer someone they've worked with at a local agency and suggest that they be brought on as a task force officer?

A Yes.

10:19AM	1	Q	Do you recall or are you aware of any situations
	2	where tha	at occurred where the defendant pitched or tried to
	3	advance s	someone that he had a relationship working with one
	4	of those	other agencies, to become a task force officer?
10:19AM	5	A	No.
	6	Q	Okay. Now, in terms of your relationship with the
	7	defendant	as a supervisor, did you ever tell him not to
	8	investiga	ate a case?
	9	A	No.
10:20AM	10	Q	Did you ever tell him not to investigate a
	11	marijuana	a trafficker?
	12	A	No.
	13	Q	Did you ever tell him not to investigate
	14	cross-Uni	ted States continent, cross-country marijuana
10:20AM	15	trafficki	ng?
	16	A	No.
	17	Q	Did you ever tell him not to investigate drug
	18	trafficki	ng that occurred over an international border?
	19	A	No.
10:20AM	20	Q	Did you ever decline to support investigations that
	21	he wanted	d to initiate?
	22	A	No.
	23	Q	As far as you recall and in your experience with
	24	the defer	ndant, when he asked you for things for cases, were
10:21AM	25	you suppo	ortive of him?
i			

			Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
10:21AM	1	А	Yes.
	2	Q	Back in the timeframe you were at the DEA all the
	3	way up tl	hrough your retirement in 2013, were large-scale
	4	marijuana	a conspiracies important to investigate for the DEA?
10:21AM	5	А	Yes, they were.
	6	Q	Were 1,000 kilogram or more marijuana conspiracies
	7	something	g the DEA would investigate and look to dismantle in
	8	this area	a?
	9	А	Yes.
10:21AM	10	Q	Going back to academy training for a moment.
	11		Are agents at the DEA academy trained in money
	12	launderi	ng?
	13	А	Yes, sir.
	14	Q	And, in fact, you have that role at a bank now,
10:21AM	15	correct?	
	16	А	Yes, I do.
	17	Q	And did you get that job, in part, because of your
	18	DEA train	ning?
	19	А	Yes, sir.
10:22AM	20	Q	What is money laundering very basically and very
	21	briefly?	
	22	А	Money laundering is the act of taking illicit
	23	funds, fi	unds that were used and developed as part of a drug
	24	traffick:	ing organization. Those illicit funds are then taken
10:22AM	25	and wash	ed, laundered, turned into legitimate funds through

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:22AM 1 the act of placing those funds into some sort of financial 2 institution.

- **Q** Okay. And does this defendant have that same type of background and training?
 - A Yes, sir, he does.
- **Q** I'm going to direct your attention back to the 2009 timeframe. And I think you said you were a group supervisor of the defendant in that timeframe, is that --
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q -- right?

I've talked about marijuana. Were cocaine traffickers people of interest for the DEA in Buffalo to investigate?

- A Yes, they were.
- **Q** How about people who knew or had access to kilograms of cocaine?
- 17 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:22AM

10:23AM

10:23AM

10:23AM

10:23AM

- Q You talked a little bit about how agents are trained to utilize confidential sources at the academy. Are they also trained on rules and procedures for signing people up to work as confidential sources?
 - A Yes, they are.
- Q You've told us what a confidential source is. Can you tell the jury what the process and procedure that agents are trained in that they have to go through to sign up -- the

10:24AM	1	steps of	signing up a DEA confidential source?
	2	А	For an agent to sign up a person as a confidential
	3	source, t	that source would be required to come to the Buffalo
	4	DEA offic	ce. The agent would meet with the source. They
10:24AM	5	would tal	ke pictures. They would take fingerprints. There'd
	6	be a numk	per of forms that the informant, prospective
	7	informant	t, would need to sign. One of them was a DEA-473
	8	form which	ch outlines the responsibilities that the informant
	9	would hav	ve while working for the DEA.
10:24AM	10		That information is collected. There is a
	11	backgrour	nd check done on the informant to include a criminal
	12	history o	check. And all of that information is collected and
	13	put toget	ther in a file, an informant file, and the informant
	14	was then	given a documented source number, informant number,
10:24AM	15	CI numbe	c .
	16	Q	Is that informant file kept in a physically
	17	separate	place?
	18	А	Yes, sir, it is.
	19	Q	Is it kept securely?
10:25AM	20	А	Yes.
	21	Q	Are agents trained and is it reinforced in agents
	22	to proted	ct the identity of these confidential sources?
	23	А	Yes.
	24	Q	Is that an important duty and function of a DEA
4			

agent: To protect the identity of confidential sources?

10:25AM 25

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:25AM 1 A Yes.

10:25AM

10:25AM

10:26AM

10:26AM

10:26AM

Q And is a word interchangeable for confidential source, confidential informant? Same thing?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why is it important and why are agents trained specifically that as part of their duties, they are to protect and secure the identity of confidential sources?

A The confidential informant provides very sensitive information to the DEA on drug trafficking activities. It's important for the DEA to keep that information confidential to insure the informant's safety and to protect the integrity of the investigation.

Q What do you mean by protect the integrity of the investigation? Can you elaborate for the jury?

A We -- when working with informants, we do not put their name -- the informant's name in a report because we don't want that information to be shared with other potential witnesses to the investigation. We want that information to be very confidential and we don't want that to be inadvertently distributed to people that don't have a need to know.

Q If confidential informant or confidential source identities become known to drug organizations, could that jeopardize their safety?

A Yes, sir.

3(

10:26AM	1	Q	When an agent wants to sign up an informant you	
	2	touched o	on it a moment ago is it typically an initial	
	3	debrief,	is that attended by another witness and a group	
	4	supervisor?		
10:27AM	5	А	Yes, sir, that is typically how it goes.	
	6	Q	So, just distinguish for a moment as the RAC, when	
	7	you had t	that role, you're typically not sitting in on those	
	8	debriefings, correct?		
	9	А	Correct.	
10:27AM	10	Q	But as the group supervisor, sort of the first line	
	11	supervisor, you would sit in those types of debriefings; is		
	12	that right?		
	13	А	That is correct.	
	14	Q	All right. In reports that are written, are agents	
10:27AM	15	allowed o	or supposed to refer to confidential sources or	
	16	informant	ts by name or by that number you referenced?	
	17	А	By the number.	
	18	Q	Is that another step in maintaining the	
	19	confidentiality of the person?		
10:27AM	20	А	Yes, sir.	
	21	Q	Now, is there are you familiar with the term	
	22	"source o	of information"?	
	23	А	Yes, sir.	
	24	Q	Is there a difference well, withdrawn.	
10:28AM	25		What is a source of information as distinguished	

3.

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:28AM 1 from a confidential source or an informant?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:28AM

10:28AM

10:28AM

10:29AM

10:29AM

- A A source of information is an individual that a DEA agent may be talking to that is providing information on drug trafficking activities. That source of information is not a documented confidential informant and that source of information is listed by name in a DEA-6 when that information's documented as part of the case investigation.
- Q "DEA-6", is that another term for a written report that agents make?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - **Q** Report of an investigation?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
 - ${f Q}$ Are those documents that document various steps in an investigation?
 - A Correct.
- Q When an informant or a confidential source

 participates and aids an agent in some type of investigative

 activity, is that supposed to be documented in a

 confidential -- excuse me, DEA-6 report?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - **Q** Is that supposed to be cross-referenced to that informant's file?
 - A Correct.
 - **Q** Why do you cross-reference to the informant file?
 - A The report is cross-referenced to the informant

		ndopilyn bilooc illpl			
10:29AM	1	file so that I, as the manager, can look at the informant			
	2	file and determine what cases that that particular informant			
	3	is assisting the agents on.			
	4	Q And do agents have a duty to document their			
10:29AM	5	interactions, as we've just discussed, with confidential			
	6	sources and informants on investigations?			
	7	A Yes, sir.			
	8	Q And to make sure that those reports make their way			
	9	to the informant file?			
10:29AM	10	A Correct.			
	11	${f Q}$ Do they also have a duty to document information			
	12	provided by those sources of information?			
	13	A Yes.			
	14	Q And now those people are allowed to have their			
10:29AM	15	names documented in a report?			
	16	A Correct.			
	17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Why is it important for agents to truthfully			
	18	and accurately document information by confidential sources?			
	19	Let's start there.			
10:30AM	20	A It's important to have that information on record			
	21	so that when you are reflecting back and preparing for court,			
	22	reflecting back on the statements provided to you by that			
	23	confidential informant, that you have a permanent record of			
	24	what that informant said to you. So, to preserve the			
10:30AM	25	integrity of that investigation and to preserve the integrity			

10:30AM	1	of those com	munications with the informant and the agent, it	
	2	needs to be	documented in a report of investigation DEA-6.	
	3	Q Ar	nd why is it also separately, why is it also	
	4	important to	document actions in an investigation	
10:30AM	5	withdrawn	information, that sources of information provide	
	6	to agents ir	an investigation? (
	7	A Fo	or the same reasons that I described: The	
	8	integrity of	the investigation, the integrity of those	
	9	conversation	ns. They're all documented in a DEA-6 to ensure	
10:31AM	10	that there i	s a permanent record of what was said by that	
	11	source of ir	nformation.	
	12	Q Is	s it also important if, for example, do you have	
	13	some agents	who have military obligations?	
	14	A (1	No response.)	
10:31AM	15	Q Ar	re there DEA agents who sometimes, for example,	
	16	have militar	cy duty?	
	17	A Ye	es, sir.	
	18	Q Or	other things in their life that take them out of	
	19	the office for a while?		
10:31AM	20	A Y€	es, sir.	
	21	Q Ar	nd on occasion if that type of situation arises,	
	22	do cases get	assigned reassigned?	
	23	A Ye	es, sir, they do.	
	24	Q Is	s it important to have the information and	
10:31AM	25	investigativ	ve material documented so that someone else can	

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:31AM 1 | further an investigation if that were to ever happen?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- **Q** Is it important to have that information documented in a file so maybe years in the future, another agent could pick an investigation up and make a link back to something that happened years earlier?
 - A Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - **Q** Does that happen in DEA investigations?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q Is that why it's important to document these things?
- A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:32AM

10:32AM

10:32AM

10:32AM

10:33AM

- Q I'm going to ask you a about a particular report in a little bit. At any time prior to November 6th, 2009, did this defendant ever come up to you as his group supervisor and say I have a source of investigation, Peter Gerace, an owner of a strip club?
 - A No, he did not.
- **Q** At any point prior to that, did the defendant ever come up to you and say I know a strip club owner named Peter Gerace and I'd like to sign him up as a confidential source, an informant with me?
 - A No, he did not.
- Q Now, if there were someone who was on probation or parole -- let's stick with U.S. Probation. Are you familiar

with any rules that probation has if someone under their
supervision is identified by an agent under your supervision
as a potential confidential source?

A Yes, sir.

10:33AM

10:33AM

10:34AM

10:34AM

10:34AM

10:35AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And have you had that situation many times where you had to coordinate that type of thing?

A Yes, sir.

Q With probation and the various stakeholders including getting court permission?

A Yes.

Q Can you explain those rules and how that works out?

A Yes. So, for individuals who are on United States probation, if you as an agent would like to sign that person up as a confidential informant, that would be considered a restricted use informant. And as a restricted use informant, there are approvals that you would have to obtain to be able to utilize that individual as a documented confidential informant. Those approvals include meeting with the probation officer and getting the approval of the probation officer, meeting with the judge, and getting the approval of the judge who is managing that particular probationee. Also meeting with the prosecutor, getting their approval. And then there would be internal approvals within DEA management that would have to be obtained before that person could be utilized as a documented confidential source.

Q Okay. Now I'd like to focus you in on your role as group supervisor, front line supervisor, for a moment.

Are there different reports and forms that, as a group supervisor, you review and sign off on?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Can you explain that process for the jury?

A As a group supervisor, I would have an in-box. I would check that in-box every day and agents would prepare reports, submit them into my in-box and I would sign them, review them and sign them almost daily.

Q And as a group supervisor, how many agents and task force officers would be under your supervision?

A When I was managing Joe, he was assigned to group D-57, Delta 57. At the time there was about 12 or 13 people in that group. It was a combination of agents and task force officers. If I'm remembering, it was maybe four to five agents, and the remaining individuals were task force officers.

Q What are the type of DEA documents as a group supervisor you review and sign off on?

A The primary document would be the DEA-6 that I mentioned earlier. That's a report of investigation. I would also sign off on DEA-202s, arrest packages. I would also sign off on operational plans. These are plans that are submitted by the agent when they are interested in conducting

10:35AM

10:35AM

10:35AM 10

12

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13 14

10:36AM 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

10:36AM

21

24

10:36AM

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

10:36AM 1 some sort of enforcement operation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:36AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

10:37AM

- **Q** And what do you mean -- just to define the term -- what do you mean by enforcement operation for the jury?
- A An enforcement operation would generally involve the agents working as a group, going out on to the street and conducting some sort of controlled buy or some sort of surveillance operation.
- **Q** Now you retired in 2013. Were these sign-offs and these forms that you filled out, were they paper forms --
 - A Yes, sir.
- **Q** -- at the time? So you were still doing pen and ink signatures and all that?
 - A Yes.
- **Q** And you said you had an in-box. Describe your in box.
- A I had a desk and an in-box and the agents would come in and put the documents in there. If it was something that needed to be actioned immediately, they would bring it right to me and hand it to me and ask for me to look at it immediately.
- Q But, otherwise, it would go to the in-box and it would be your job to review things as things piled up?
 - A That's right.
 - Q Are there sometimes when that in-box got real high?
 - A Yes, sir.

10:37AM	1	Q	Are there instances where your other obligations in
	2	the offic	e impacted how long you spent reviewing each
	3	document?	
	4	А	Correct.
10:38AM	5	Q	Who determines when to put something in your
	6	in-box?	
	7	А	The agents.
	8	Q	When you have that type of situation, do you go
	9	through e	each form that you're reviewing before you sign off
10:38AM	10	on it and	l reinvestigate every single sentence and word that
	11	an agent	wrote in the report?
	12	А	No, sir.
	13	Q	Why don't you do that?
	14	А	It would it's just not practical. It's
10:38AM	15	impossibl	e for me to reinvestigate everything that an agent
	16	presents	to me. I am trusting the author of the report to
	17	provide t	to me factual information when they prepare that
	18	report.	
	19	Q	And are there times when you, in your experience,
10:38AM	20	miss thin	gs that might need correcting in a report?
	21	А	There are times.
	22	Q	Are there times when you see something that might
	23	be inaccu	rate and you don't think it's a big deal so you let
	24	it go?	
10:39AM	25	А	On occasion, that might happen, yes.
i			

10:39AM	1	Q	Okay. And are there other times when you kick
	2	things ba	ck to agents and have them rewrite things?
	3	А	Correct.
	4	Q	And what's an example of a time when you, you know,
10:39AM	5	just an e	xample of a scenario where you might submit
	6	something	back to an agent to fix something?
	7	А	If I saw a particular sentence that described a
	8	incident	that I might have been a part of that I know might
	9	have occu	rred differently, I would send it back and ask the
10:39AM	10	agent to,	to reevaluate what they wrote to ensure that it was
	11	consisten	t with the facts that had happened.
	12	Q	And that's a question I want to follow up on. As a
	13	group sup	ervisor, if a case agent has an enforcement action,
	14	is the gr	oup supervisor sometimes out on the street still in
10:39AM	15	a support	ing role?
	16	А	Yes, sir.
	17	Q	But those enforcement actions are originated by
	18	who?	
	19	А	The case agent.
10:40AM	20	Q	And who writes those operational plans that
	21	coincide	with enforcement actions?
	22	А	The case agents.
	23	Q	Is the case agent responsible for every word
	24	written i	n those reports?
10:40AM	25	А	Generally, yes.

10:40AM	1	MR. TRIPI: Ms. Champoux, on the screen and for the
	2	witness only, please at this point can we pull up
	3	Government Exhibit Number 30A, please, for the witness.
	4	Q Mr. Kasprzyk, that is a two-page document. I want
10:40AM	5	to give you just a moment to review it. Don't say anything
	6	about it. Once you want to advance to the second page, let
	7	us know. And when you're done looking at it, look back at me
	8	and let me know, okay.
	9	A (Witness complies.)
10:40AM	10	Next page.
	11	MR. TRIPI: Ms. Champoux, if you could advance it.
	12	Thank you.
	13	For the record, he's now looking at Page 2.
	14	A Yes, sir.
10:41AM	15	Q Ms. Champoux, if we can advance it back to the
	16	first page.
	17	Looking at Exhibit number 30A you've looked at
	18	both pages do you recognize that document?
	19	A Yes, sir. This is a report of investigation DEA-6.
10:41AM	20	Q And how do you recognize that specific document?
	21	A I can see at the bottom of the page my signature.
	22	Q And you've reviewed this document prior to today?
	23	A Yes, sir.
	24	Q Is this a fair and accurate copy of a DEA-6 that
10:42AM	25	was submitted to you by the defendant that you reviewed and

that resulted in him testing positive in his urine for cocaine.

Okay. I'll ask you a few questions about it. just want to get the basics of the form down.

I'm sorry. Α

10:42AM

10:42AM

10:42AM

10:42AM

10:43AM

10:43AM

21

22

23

24

25

No, poor question. Q

So, looking at this form, there's some information 10:43AM 1 filled out in box 3 at the top that's under the term "file 2 3 number", do you see that?

Α I do.

10:43AM

10:43AM

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:44AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Can you tell the jury what that number is?

The file number is the particular case number that is -- that the report was written to. The G-DEP identifier -- all cases, all investigations within the DEA are, are G-DEP classified. That would speak to the level of organization and the particular type of narcotic being sold by that group. So that's what the G dep identifier represents.

Q If we can zoom out of that, please.

If we can, I'd like to highlight boxes 5 and 6. Start with box 5 and go on to box 6 and explain what those are.

Yes, sir. Box 5 represents or indicates that the report was prepared by SA Joseph Bongiovanni at the Buffalo Resident Office, Group D-57 and box 6 is our file title. In this case, the file was written to -- or the case was written to the file under Matthew Scalia.

- 0 Just a followup question on this. Who decides what file title to write a report to? That is the case agent. Α
- Okay. In this case, the author of this report? Q

That would be an opportunity for the case agent to

cross-file this particular report either to the confidential

informant file or to another investigation that might be

related to the, to the case at hand.

10:44AM

10:44AM

10:45AM

10:45AM

10:45AM

10:45AM

22

23

24

10:45AM 1 Q So if, if this particular report related to other 2 investigations, this is the space to list those in?

A Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:45AM

10:46AM

10:46AM

10:46AM

10:47AM

Q If this report related to a confidential source or informant, this is the place to list that at?

A Correct.

Q And here it's blank?

A That's right.

Q Okay. If we can zoom out of that.

I want to focus on boxes 9 and 10 now.

Can you talk us through, first, box 9 there, what that box means and what's listed there?

A Box 9 is other officers. So, anyone that might have been involved in the investigation that was detailed in this particular report of investigation, they would be listed in there as "other officers".

And number 10 is a report regarding -- and that is a, just a brief summary or highlight of what it is that the report's about.

MR. TRIPI: We can zoom out of that, please.

Q I'd like to now take you down to boxes -- if we could highlight boxes 11, 12, the whole bottom there -- can you talk us through and describe box 11 through 15, please.

A Box 11 would be -- would indicate any distribution. So if this report was sent anywhere else, it would be listed

in box 11. 10:47AM 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

So, let me just put a finer point on that. there were an investigative interest by some other DEA office or some other entity that had an investigative interest, there was a space to list that there?

Α Correct.

Please continue.

Box 12 is the agent's name and signature who prepared the report.

Box 13 is the date that the report was prepared.

Box 14 is the name and the title and signature of the supervisor that approved the report.

And Box 15 is the date that that happened.

MR. TRIPI: Okay. If we can zoom out of that, please.

Ms. Champoux if we can go to, we're going to go to Page 2 first. At the end of the report I want to show you -highlight the indexing, section, please.

Can you tell us what the index section is, please. Q

The indexing section is an area of the report which documents the name of the individual that's listed in the report and it also -- in this case it says NADDIS number pending.

NADDIS is a system within DEA that records all information on suspected drug traffickers and/or individuals that have information on drug trafficking that can be named.

10:47AM

10:47AM

10

12

11

13

14

10:47AM 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

10:48AM

23

10:48AM

- 10:48AM 1 That, that would be in our NADDIS system.
 - 2 So, in other words, if someone had a prior DEA
 - 3 case, NADDIS would have some information about a prior DEA
 - 4 case and that information could be written in this indexing
- 10:48AM 5 | section?

10:49AM

10:49AM

10:49AM

- 6 A Correct.
- 8 A That -- that would indicate that Joe would have
- 9 checked NADDIS, looked for a number, couldn't find that
- 10 number and that that number was pending. So a number was to
- 11 | be given to Peter Gerace.
- 12 Q And, thus, "pending" means there's no additional
- 13 | information to write in this box at this time?
- 14 A Correct.
- MR. TRIPI: Okay. Zoom out of that, please. Can we go
 - 16 back to Page 1.
 - 2 So, just -- Mr. Bongiovanni authored this document,
 - 18 | correct?

- 19 A Yes, sir.
 - Q You did not request this document, did you?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Did you know that it was being written prior to him
- 23 | submitting it to you?
- 24 A I don't recall having specific information that he
- 10:49AM 25 was writing it prior to it coming to me, no.

this investigation. So there would be some sort of

connection between this individual's information or potential

10:49AM

10:50AM

10:50AM

10:50AM

10:50AM

10:51AM

24

10:51AM 1 information and the Matthew Scalia file.

Q Now, do you go back and look through all the other reports in the investigation in the Matthew Scalia file to get more specifics?

A No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:51AM

10:51AM

10:52AM

10:52AM

10:52AM

MR. TRIPI: Okay. Let's move on to Paragraph 2. If we could zoom out of that, please.

Q Can you read out loud for the jury Paragraph 2 and then I want to follow up with some questions about it.

A "On November 1st, 2009, SA Joseph Bongiovanni received a telephone call from Peter G. Gerace. Gerace has acted as a confidential source and has been able to provide information regarding the individuals in this case file and other narcotic investigation in the past. It should be known that Gerace is presently on federal parole and supervised release."

Q Let me stop you there for a moment.

Now, the term is used "Gerace has acted as a confidential source and has been able to provide information", and the sentence continues; do you see that?

A I do.

Q But DEA policy was to reference a confidential source by number, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And then earlier you talked about going on to the

1 next sentence where it talks about him being on federal
2 parole and supervised release; do you see that?

- A Yes, sir, I do.
- **Q** Earlier you talked about approvals that need to be done before someone could be utilized as a confidential source while on federal -- as it's written here -- federal parole and supervised release?
 - A Yes, sir.

10:52AM

10:53AM

10:53AM

10:53AM

10:53AM

10:54AM

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- **Q** At any point prior to you seeing this report, did the defendant ever come to you and say: Hey, I know a guy Peter Gerace, he's on supervised release, we'd like to work with him while on supervised release?
 - A No, sir.
 - **Q** So that never happened?
 - A That never happened.
- Q Okay. Please continue. I think you were about to start the "at this time" sentence. Please read further.
- A "At this time Gerace advised SA Bongiovanni that United States Probation Officer Peter Lepiane and agents of the FBI initiated a search of Pharaoh's Gentlemen's Club located at 23 Aero Drive in Cheektowaga, New York on or about October 31st, 2009. (It should be known that Gerace is associated with Pharaoh's Gentlemen's Club)".
- **Q** Prior to this report being presented to you, did you have any familiarity with Peter Gerace being a

10:54AM 1 confidential source for the DEA?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:54AM

10:54AM

10:55AM

10:55AM

10:55AM

A I did not.

Q To your knowledge, was Peter Gerace ever a confidential source with the DEA while you were there?

A To my knowledge, no.

Q Prior to this report did Mr. Bongiovanni ever come up to you and tell you he's a source of information for me?

A He did not.

Q So is this report the first instance you're hearing the name "Peter Gerace" connected to this situation that's being described in the report?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is this the first time you're hearing the name Peter Gerace connected to the defendant in any way?

A Yes.

Q Outside the framework of this report, did you and the defendant have any side conversations where he told you:

I'm childhood friends with Peter Gerace?

A No, sir.

Q Outside the of context of this report, did he ever tell you: I've been to Peter Gerace's club?

A No, sir.

Q Had any of that information been provided to you, would you have scrutinized this report more closely?

A Yes, sir.

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

Q Would you have approved the defendant operating any way, shape or form with Peter Gerace as a confidential source?

A No, sir.

Q Would you have approved in any way, shape, or form the defendant continuing with Peter Gerace -- withdrawn -- the defendant operating a source of information named Peter Gerace?

A Had I known that relationship?

Q Correct.

A No, sir.

Q Whose job would it have been to alert you to that relationship?

A Joe Bongiovanni.

Q I'd like to go to Paragraph 3, please.

Can you read that paragraph out loud for the jury, please.

A "Gerace advised SA Bongiovanni that he was administered a urine test to detect the presence of narcotics in his urine. Gerace advised SA Bongiovanni that he failed the urine test due to the presence of trace amounts of cocaine detected in his urine. Gerace advised SA Bongiovanni that he believed he had now violated his term of supervised release. Gerace stated that he was prepared to offer information regarding individuals who are trafficking in

10:55AM

10:55AM

9

10:55AM 10

11

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

10:56AM 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

10:56AM

24

10:56AM

10:56AM	1	narcotics in the Buffalo area. Gerace stated he wanted to
	2	offer this information in lieu of consideration on this
	3	pending supervised release violation due to the failed urine
	4	test."
10:57AM	5	Q What did you understand the defendant to be
	6	documenting in this paragraph?
	7	A That Mr. Gerace would like to work
	8	MR. SINGER: Your Honor, object to what the witness
	9	understands. The words speak for themselves.
10:57AM	10	THE COURT: Sustained.
	11	MR. TRIPI: A may I make a brief argument on that?
	12	THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
	13	MR. TRIPI: He's the supervisor who signed off on the
	14	report. I think his understanding has some relevance, your
10:57AM	15	Honor.
	16	THE COURT: Well, what he understood I think is fine.
	17	MR. TRIPI: That was the question.
	18	THE COURT: No, I think the question is what he
	19	understood Mr. Bongiovanni to mean by it.
10:57AM	20	MR. TRIPI: I can rephrase it, Judge.
	21	THE COURT: Sure.
	22	Q What was your understanding of this paragraph as
	23	you reviewed it?
	24	A That Peter Gerace had or there was the potential
10:58AM	25	of a violation by U.S. Probation against Mr. Gerace and that

5.

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

		100p1111
10:58AM	1	Mr. Gerace had reached out to Joe Bongiovanni and was
	2	attempting to work for the DEA as a confidential informant or
	3	source of information and take that information and use it to
	4	weigh up against his potential or pending probation
10:58AM	5	violation.
	6	Q Was becoming involved in Gerace's potential
	7	probation violation something you directed the defendant to
	8	do or something the defendant did on his own?
	9	MR. SINGER: Object to the form of the question.
10:58AM	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	11	MR. TRIPI: There's nothing improper about that.
	12	THE COURT: Overruled.
	13	A Joe Bongiovanni brought this information to me
	14	Q Okay.
10:58AM	15	A on his own. I didn't I didn't direct him to
	16	do this. He brought this to me as part of the conversation
	17	he had with me.
	18	Q Was it your understanding that through this report
	19	Mr. Bongiovanni claimed that Mr. Gerace was offering to
10:59AM	20	cooperate with the DEA?
	21	A Yes, sir.
	22	MR. SINGER: Objection, same objection, Judge.
	23	THE COURT: Overruled.
	24	Q Was information about drug traffickers something

the DEA would have considered valuable?

25

10:59AM

10:59AM 1 A Yes, sir.

10:59AM

11:00AM

11:00AM

11:00AM

11:01AM

Q I would like to move on to Paragraph 4. If you could read that out loud.

A "On November 2nd, 2009, Gerace arrived at the DEA Buffalo resident office and spoke briefly to SA Bongiovanni. Gerace stated that he knew significant cocaine traffickers capable of moving kilo quantities of cocaine out of various distribution houses located in the North Buffalo and South Buffalo areas. Gerace stated that he would not offer additional information until he received a good faith commitment from United States Probation Officer Lepiane that he would receive consideration on his violation in lieu of information he is willing to provide."

Q Where it states "Gerace stated that he knew significant cocaine traffickers capable of moving kilo quantities of cocaine" -- and I'll stop it there -- what was your understanding of what that meant?

A To me, that meant that Peter Gerace had information on a Drug Trafficking Organization that was moving kilogram quantities of cocaine in Western New York. That would be information that the DEA would find valuable.

Q In your experience, are those who knew significant cocaine traffickers capable of moving kilo quantities of cocaine, are those individuals who know people like that, typically themselves involved in drug trafficking at a high

11:01AM	1	level?
	2	MR. SINGER: Object to the foundation.
	3	THE COURT: Overruled.
	4	A Typically, yes.
11:01AM	5	${f Q}$ Given this context and the information the
	6	defendant wrote in this report, would Gerace have been
	7	someone the DEA would have wanted to investigate if he had
	8	not been purporting to cooperate, as Bongiovanni wrote in
	9	this report?
11:01AM	10	A Yes, sir.
	11	THE COURT: Mr. Tripi, good place for a break now?
	12	MR. TRIPI: Yes, Judge, this is a good spot.
	13	THE COURT: Okay.
	14	MR. TRIPI: Thank you.
11:01AM	15	THE COURT: So let's take our morning break now.
	16	Please remember my instructions about not communicating
	17	about the case with anyone, including each other, and not
	18	making up your mind.
	19	See you back here in about 10 or 15 minutes.
11:02AM	20	(WHEREUPON, jury excused.)
	21	THE COURT: Anything for the record before we break?
	22	MR. TRIPI: No, your Honor.
	23	MR. SINGER: Not from me, Judge.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you in a few minutes.
11:02AM	25	(WHEREUPON, recess taken.)

THE COURT: Okay, let's bring them in, Pat, please.

(WHEREUPON, jury present.)

11:02AM

11:18AM

11:18AM

11:18AM

11:19AM

11:20AM

21

22

25

THE COURT: The record will reflect that all our jurors 23 24 again are present.

Folks, we'll go until some time between 12 and 12:30,

		Raspizyk bilect lilpi
11:20AM	1	break for lunch for about an hour and then resume.
	2	Just so you know for planning purposes, next week our
	3	lunch brakes are going to be later than usual. They'll be
	4	between 1:30 and 2 o'clock generally and we'll break for an
11:20AM	5	hour around then because I have something I need to do about
	6	2 o'clock every day next week, okay.
	7	I remind the witness that he's still under oath.
	8	And you may continue, Mr. Tripi.
	9	MR. TRIPI: Thank you, your Honor.
11:20AM	10	Ms. Champoux, can we pull the exhibit back up. We had
	11	Paragraph 49 up.
	12	Q Looking at this paragraph, again, see that first
	13	sentence there "on November 2nd, 2009, Gerace arrived at the
	14	DEA Buffalo resident office and spoke briefly to SA
11:21AM	15	Bongiovanni", do you see that sentence?
	16	A Yes, sir.
	17	Q Now, that date, November 2nd, 2009, that's four
	18	days before you signed off on and reviewed this report,
	19	correct?
11:21AM	20	A Correct.
	21	Q Now, at that time per DEA policy, if an interview
	22	was going to be conducted by a DEA agent in the office, was
	23	there supposed to be a witness to that interview?
	24	A Yes, sir.
11:21AM	25	Q Does this paragraph reference any witness to any

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

		kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
11:21AM	1	interaction with a Gerace had with Bongiovanni?
	2	A No, it does not.
	3	Q As per DEA policy, are a witness and supervisor
	4	supposed to be present for those initial confidential source
11:21AM	5	debriefings you talked about earlier?
	6	A Yes, sir.
	7	Q Does this paragraph reference any witness or
	8	supervisor who was present for a debriefing of Gerace?
	9	A It does not.
11:22AM	10	Q And just to make the record clear, you were not
	11	present for a conversation as the defendant's group
	12	supervisor, November 2nd, 2009, when Gerace arrived at the
	13	DEA Buffalo resident office, correct?
	14	A I was not.
11:22AM	15	Q And other than the defendant writing that, do you
	16	have any information other than the written words on this
	17	page that that actually happened?
	18	A No, sir, I do not.
	19	Q Were you invited to a meeting between Gerace and
11:22AM	20	the defendant on November 2nd, 2009, and just didn't go?
	21	A No, sir, I was not.
	22	Q So, do you have any personal knowledge that a
	23	meeting actually happened between Gerace and Bongiovanni on
	24	November 2nd, 2009?

MR. SINGER: Objection. Asked and answered.

11:23AM

11:23AM	1	THE COURT: Overruled.
	2	A I do not.
	3	Q Okay. I think we've already looked at the rest of
	4	that paragraph, so we're going to move on to Paragraph 5.
11:23AM	5	Can you read for the record and for the jury, can
	6	you read Paragraph 5 out loud and then I'll ask you some
	7	questions.
	8	A "Later on that same day, SA Bongiovanni reported
	9	this information to G S Kasprzyk of the Buffalo resident
11:23AM	10	office. GS Kasprzyk contacted FBI GS James Jansewicz to
	11	better understand the FBI's interest in Gerace as well as
	12	their participation in the search at the Pharaoh's club. FBI
	13	GS Jansewicz stated that the FBI was very interested in
	14	interviewing Gerace on various issues regarding the Pharaoh's
11:24AM	15	club and other FBI investigations."
	16	Q Okay. Now, did there come a time when you, in
	17	fact, called FBI Group Supervisor Jansewicz?
	18	A I did.
	19	Q Can you describe that how what triggered you
11:24AM	20	making that phone call?
	21	A My conversation with Joe led me to call Jim
	22	Jansewicz from the FBI and explain to him the information
	23	that Joe Bongiovanni had provided to me regarding Mr. Gerace.
	24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And that's the information that we just read in
11:24AM	25	Paragraph 4?

11:24AM 1 A Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:24AM

11:25AM

11:25AM

11:26AM

11:26AM

Q And just to make it clear, who is FBI Group Supervisor James Jansewicz?

A Jim Jansewicz is a FBI Group Supervisor. At the time he managed the FBI Safe Streets Task Force. That is an FBI group that also is involved in the investigation of drug trafficking crimes. So that group, his group, the Safe Streets group, and the DEA office often worked together on overlapping investigations.

Q So why did you call Mr. Jansewicz after the defendant advised you of information related to Gerace and probation search of Pharaoh's?

A I knew from my conversation with Joe Bongiovanni that the FBI was involved with the search at Pharaoh's and with the incident with the probation officer. So based on that information, I wanted to call Jim and talk to him about his interest in Gerace and his interest in Pharaoh's.

Q Did you believe the FBI, based on that, FBI had significant interest in Gerace?

A After my discussion with Jim, Jim told me they had interest in Gerace and they were -- would like to talk to him as part of their investigation.

Q Is that sort of interagency office sharing important?

A Yes, sir.

11:26AM 1 **Q** Is that a way to de-conflict?

A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:26AM

11:27AM

11:27AM

11:27AM

11:28AM

Q After you spoke to Jansewicz, what did you, what if anything did you say or direct the defendant to do based on that conversation?

A I directed Joe Bongiovanni to contact Jim Jansewicz in the FBI office and make arrangements to have them meet with Gerace and determine his potential suitability to be a source of information or confidential source.

Q Did you direct him to make sure that occurred?

A I asked him to, to handle that, yes.

Q Was that to insure that the FBI spoke directly with Gerace about the drug trafficking information that was referenced in this report?

A Correct.

Q If after your discussion with the FBI supervisor, you had learned the FBI was not interested in working with Peter Gerace, what would you have directed the defendant to do as it related to Gerace?

A Had I known that, I would have done an internal assessment as to whether or not we could potentially, at the DEA, open up Gerace as a confidential informant.

Q And if you learned that someone like Gerace was not interested in cooperating with the DEA or anyone else, would you have directed an investigation of Gerace?

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

			Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
11:28AM	1	A	Yes.
	2	Q	What did you expect the defendant to do coming out
	3	of your c	conversation with him after you spoke to Jansewicz?
	4	А	I expected him to contact Group Supervisor
11:28AM	5	Jansewicz	at the FBI and arrange for a meeting between Gerace
	6	and the F	BI to discuss any information that Gerace might be
	7	able to p	provide on drug traffickers in the Western New York
	8	area.	
	9	Q	Could we look at Paragraph 6, please. And could
11:29AM	10	we cou	ald you read that first sentence of Paragraph 6.
	11	А	"GS Kasprzyk instructed SA Bongiovanni to set up an
	12	interview	with Gerace and FBI agents in the near future."
	13	Q	Is that what you just told this jury you directed
	14	him to do	?
11:29AM	15	А	Yes, sir.
	16	Q	Is that consistent with what your instruction was?
	17	А	Yes, it is.
	18	Q	Did the defendant ever tell you that he actually
	19	set up an	interview with Gerace and the FBI?
11:29AM	20	А	He said to me that he was planning to do that but I
	21	have no r	recollection of him actually having that meeting with
	22	the FBI.	
	23	Q	No recollection of him coming back and reporting
	24	that he h	and that meeting?

11:29AM 25

A Correct. He never came back to me to say that he

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

11:29AM 1 | had that meeting and this is what happened.

- **Q** Did he ever present to you a report documenting what transpired with a meeting between Gerace and the FBI for you to review and approve like this report?
 - A He did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:30AM

11:30AM

11:31AM

11:31AM

11:31AM

- **Q** At any point did the defendant say: Hey, I can't work on anything involving Gerace, I'm childhood friends, I need to recuse myself?
 - A He did not.
 - Q Going back to the first paragraph for a moment.

 I mean the second paragraph, I'm sorry.

In that first sentence, the defendant wrote: "On November 1st, 2009, SA Joseph Bongiovanni received a phone call from Peter G. Gerace." Is that accurate?

- A That's accurate.
- **Q** Anywhere in this report did the defendant document a phone number where any other agent could get in touch with Peter Gerace?
 - A No, sir.
- **Q** Can we go to the second page of the indexing section: Is the indexing portion of a DEA-6 a place where agents should document things like phone numbers?
 - A Yes, sir.
- **Q** Is there any phone number for Peter Gerace written in the indexing section?

A Not that I recall.

Q Do you recall the defendant ever mentioning that he passed Gerace off to the FBI for them to work him as a source?

A No.

11:31AM

11:31AM

11:32AM

11:32AM

11:32AM

11:32AM

21

22

23

24

for enforcement actions are handled within the context of the

And earlier you said as the RAC, you're in charge

DEA Buffalo Resident Office?

Yes, sir.

Α

11:33AM

11:33AM

11:33AM

11:33AM

11:34AM

11:34AM

22

23

24

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

11:34AM 1 of the budget?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:34AM

11:35AM

11:35AM

11:35AM

11:35AM

- 2 A Correct.
 - Q Not to get too administrative here, but I think we need to follow up and ask what types of things does the DEA have to pay for out of its budget, just general categories?

A So, generally, out of our budget we would authorize funds to pay for controlled buys. We would authorize funds to pay for informant information. I would also have to authorize funds to pay for technology services. If we were working with phone companies and having to pay for pen registers and/or wiretaps, those funds would come out of our, our budget. So those would be some of the categories that I would manage as the RAC.

Q Okay. Just to, just to break that down a little bit.

If the DEA wants to utilize a confidential source to buy drugs from a member or an associate of a drug organization, that takes money, correct?

- A Correct.
- **Q** And in those types of operations, which you've described what they are earlier, the DEA provides the funds to conduct those purchases of drugs?
 - A That's correct, sir.
 - **Q** And that happens in a controlled setting?
 - A Correct.

11:35AM 1 Q And as the supervisor, the RAC, you're ultimately responsible for ensuring those funds are available?

A Correct.

Q If someone wants to make a -- if an agent, not just someone -- if an agent wants to make a controlled evidence purchase of drugs from a target that's associated with a drug

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:36AM

11:36AM

11:37AM

11:37AM

would be.

A Well, initially they would have to open up a case investigation, a case file. And that would require the agent to collect as much information as they could on the target of their investigation. That would be documented in the case initiation file.

organization, tell the jury what the steps of the process

Once the case is opened and it's appropriately given a case number and a G-DEP identifier, they would then use a confidential informant -- a documented confidential informant -- to infiltrate that organization and conduct a controlled buy.

Q And I'd like to focus in on the part of making the funding request. How does that occur, how does that roll through the office?

A When the agent is working with that confidential informant and develops information to make a controlled buy, that information is documented on a DEA operational plan.

That operational plan is prepared in such a way that it

		1005F127:1
11:37AM	1	details the how the buy is going to go, who the buy is
	2	going to be from, the amount of the buy, where it's going to
	3	occur. All of those details are listed in the operational
	4	plan.
11:37AM	5	In addition to the operational plan, there's also a
	6	DEA-12 that is submitted at the same time as the operational
	7	plan. And the DEA-12 would list out the amount of funds that
	8	are being requested by the agent to complete the buy.
	9	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And then who does that paperwork get submitted to?
11:37AM	10	A Initially the agent would submit it to the Group
	11	Supervisor. Once it's signed off by the Group Supervisor, it
	12	would go to the RAC and then once it's signed off by the RAC,
	13	the case would go to the ASAC for final approval.
	14	Q Who's responsible for initiating the law
11:38AM	15	enforcement action that would be subject to the operational
	16	plan?
	17	A That would be the case agent.
	18	Q Who's responsible for writing the words contained
	19	in the operational plan?
11:38AM	20	A Generally it's the case agent.
	21	${f Q}$ And who at what point are funds requested in
	22	that process?
	23	A Once the ops once the operational plan is
	24	submitted to the Group Supervisor, along with the DEA-12,
11:38AM	25	that initiates the process to request the funding.

11:38AM	1	${f Q}$ Based upon your the way you practiced in the
	2	office and in your history reviewing these things, are
	3	operational plans landing on your desk without you having any
	4	awareness they're coming or are there conversations that are
11:39AM	5	had between the RAC level and the Group Supervisor level?
	6	Can you explain that for the jury?
	7	A Yes. When I was the group supervisor, you would
	8	have conversations with the case agent about the controlled
	9	buy. And those conversations are often detailed where we
11:39AM	10	would discuss the buy itself and how the buy was going to go
	11	and the amount of the buy and the reason for the buy.
	12	When it was approved by the group supervisor and
	13	brought up to the RAC when I became the RAC there would
	14	be less conversation. I, I would meet with the group
11:39AM	15	supervisor and I would learn about the case from the group
	16	supervisor and allow them to provide to me the facts of the
	17	investigation. I normally would not meet with the agent to
	18	verify all of what was happening.
	19	Q Does the group supervisor reinvestigate everything
11:40AM	20	the agent writes in the operational plan?
	21	A He does not.
	22	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Does the RAC reinvestigate all the words written in
	23	the operational plan?
	24	A They do not.
11:40AM	25	${f Q}$ Does the group supervisor and the RAC reply upon

11:40AM 1 the case agent who's the handler of the informant? Α 2 Yes. 3 As the RAC at the point where you sign off, are you -- do you at that point in the process have an awareness 4 11:40AM 5 that funds will be available and approved by the ASAC above you? 6 Yes, sir. 7 Α And what is an ASAC? Just talk about how it goes 8 Q 9 after the RAC level, please. 11:40AM So the RAC is the resident agent in charge. 10 11 ASAC is the Assistant Special Agent in Charge. The ASAC, in 12 our case, the ASAC sat in Albany and that was a district 13 office at the time and the ASAC had responsibility for 14 Albany, Syracuse, Rochester, and Buffalo. So all of the RACs in those cities would report to the ASAC. 11:41AM 15 16 And are there varying levels of funding requests in 17 the context of controlled buys in terms of dollar amounts? 18 Yes, sir. Α Just to give the jury an idea of a range. What 19 11:41AM would be sort of a high fund requesting and what would be 20 21 sort of a lower, more routine level funding request? 22 Generally anything under \$5,000 would be a routine funding request. Amounts in excess of 5,000, 10,000, 15 or 23

20 would be a higher level funding request.

And in your experience for those \$5,000 or less

24

25

Q

11:41AM

11:41AM 1 funding requests, once you were the group supervisor and then later a RAC, was there ever much trouble getting money for 2 3 those types of levels? For the most part, no. We, we knew what our budget 11:42AM 5 was at the Buffalo office and generally we tried to work within that budget. 6 As the RAC, in terms of your general practice by 7 Q the time you were the RAC, by the time a funding request for 8 9 a controlled buy reaches your desk and you're signing off, have you already had a conversation with the ASAC who has to 11:42AM 10 11 ultimately sign off about that? 12 Typically, yes. Α 13 And what would the general topic of conversation be Q 14 that would cause you to sign off? The conversation with the ASAC? 11:42AM 15 16 Q Yeah. 17 Typically I would talk with him or her about the case itself and the need to do the buy and I would validate 18 that we had funds available to do the buy. The ASAC would 19 11:42AM always ask me was the safety plan, the operational plan 20 21 prepared and they would ask that we would send it to them for 22 review and approval. And are there times when everything's set to go, 23 you've had that conversation with the ASAC and then a buy 24

doesn't go for whatever reason?

11:43AM

		Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
11:43AM	1	A Yes, sir.
	2	Q Is that a situation where you don't send the final
	3	paperwork to the ASAC because you've learned additional
	4	information after that phone call that a buy is not going to
11:43AM	5	go for whatever reason?
	6	A Correct. If the, if the buy was delayed or not
	7	going to happen, we would not push that final approval to the
	8	ASAC because there would be no need to pull out the money.
	9	Q And who what do you mean by we wouldn't push
11:43AM	10	that final approval to the ASAC?
	11	A My either myself or my aide would not send that
	12	operational plan and the 12 the DEA-12 to the final
	13	ASAC for final approval because there would be no need to
	14	pull out the funds for the operation.
11:44AM	15	Q Because you know the operation is not going
	16	forward?
	17	A Correct.
	18	Q And who advises you as the RAC that the operation
	19	is not going forward?
11:44AM	20	A Typically it would be the GS.
	21	Q And who advises the group supervisor?
	22	A The case agent.
	23	Q And who's responsible on making the call on whether
	24	the operation goes forward?
11:44AM	25	A The case agent.

/ 5

1	Q I'd like to hand you up Government Exhibit 8A.
2	8A-7 sorry. For the record, it's 8A-7?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	MR. TRIPI: Judge, we don't have a copy for Court so we
5	can display it for you? This is something marked today.
6	THE COURT: It was just marked today?
7	MR. TRIPI: Yes. Yeah, it was marked today so it's not
8	in your binder. I apologize.
9	THE CLERK: Yes, it is.
10	THE COURT: It is in my binder?
11	THE CLERK: Ms. Champoux gave it to me.
12	MR. TRIPI: My fault, Judge. Everyone's ahead of me.
13	Q If you could please look at that document, it's a
14	multipage document and just when you're done, just look up.
15	Do you recognize that multipage document which is
16	marked Government Exhibit 8A-7?
17	A I do.
18	Q What do you recognize that to be?
19	A This is a DEA safety plan, otherwise known as an
20	operational plan, that documents an enforcement operation
21	that was to occur.
22	Q And sort of last page of that operational plan,
23	what is that?
24	A The last page is a copy of a DEA-12. This is a
25	document that is used by an agent to withdraw funds to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

larger Exhibit 8A. It's just a subexhibit.

MR. SINGER: No objection.

11:46AM

11:46AM

11:46AM

11:46AM

11:47AM

11:47AM

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. It's received without objection.

(WHEREUPON, Government Exhibit 8A-7 received.)

MR. TRIPI: Okay, so we can publish it for the jury, if that's okay.

THE CLERK: You're all set.

MR. TRIPI: Thank you very much.

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

Just to orient the jury to what they're looking at. 11:47AM 1 Q At the very top there's a number there: C2130026. What is 2 3 that? That's the DEA case number that references this 4 11:47AM 5 investigation. And under that it says the word "safety plan". Is 6 Q that what you've been referring to as an operational plan? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 9 And can you -- I'll jump in with a couple questions 11:47AM but just to sort of move this along a little bit, can you 10 11 just go through each of the boxes and tell the jury what they 12 are. Maybe go through the first five and then I'll follow 13 up. 14 The date of the operation is listed up at the top under Box 2. That's May 6th, 2013 at 6 p.m. 11:47AM 15 16 Location of the operation. That would be the area 17 where the controlled buy was going to happen. In this case, it was 2526 Delaware Avenue, Kelly's Korner Bar. 18 19 Block 5 lists out the case number, the file title 11:48AM name, group number, and G-DEP number. 20 21 And the file title in this case is Anderson, comma, 22 Wayne, or Wayne Anderson? 23 Α Correct. MR. TRIPI: We can zoom out of that and highlight maybe 24

6 through 10. Just go down the page a bit.

11:48AM

25

In this particular operation, the DEA CS number is pending.

So what does that mean, CS number is pending?

That would mean that a confidential informant

11:48AM

11:48AM

11:49AM

11:49AM

11:49AM

11:49AM

22

23

24

25

And that is --

Q

package was submitted for the informant that they're using and they're waiting to get an actual CS number to attach to that informant.

Q Okay. Please continue.

A The beeper, cellular, vehicle type, all that information is related to the CS himself. In the event someone needed to contact the CS, that would be his cell number. And then at the very right side, you'll see "wired". That means there's a transmitter on the informant which would allow the surveillance agents the ability to listen, monitor and record any conversation between the informant and the target.

 ${f Q}$ Now I see Box 9 is blank but what would that be for --

A That --

11:49AM

11:50AM

11:50AM

11:50AM

11:51AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q -- if it were filled in?

A That information would document the name of the undercover operative so if they're -- an undercover is a law enforcement officer, either a DEA agent or a police officer that's working in an undercover capacity to purchase narcotics.

MR. TRIPI: Okay. And we can zoom out and just highlight Box 10, 11, 12, 13, please, at the bottom there.

Q If you can go through 10, 11, 12 and 13, please.

A 10 are the radio channels that we would use during

		Raspizyk bilecc fripi
11:51AM	1	the operation, the DEA radio channels.
	2	Flashroll is 11. This is not a flashroll event.
	3	Special equipment required. This is pretty
	4	standard. We expect every law enforcement officer to have
11:51AM	5	their bulletproof vests, their raid jackets, search kits,
	6	their entry tools, all of that would be available with them
	7	during the controlled buy.
	8	The box 13 is our, our distresser signals. We
	9	always give the informant or the undercover operative
11:51AM	10	distress signals in the event that the operation goes poorly
	11	and the agent or informant's life is in danger. We would
	12	expect them to use these distress signals to signal to the
	13	surveillance team that they need help.
	14	Q And so here verbal is "don't hurt me" and visual is
11:51AM	15	hands up in the air?
	16	A Correct.
	17	MR. TRIPI: Zoom out of that, please.
	18	${f Q}$ And then there's some writing at the bottom of the
	19	page there. Can you tell us what that is?
11:52AM	20	A It's hard for me to make I believe this is when
	21	the it's hard for me to read the letters, to be honest.
	22	Q Okay. Do you see where it says "to ALB, 5/2/13"?
	23	A Yes.
	24	${f Q}$ Do you know what the "ALB" reference is?
11:52AM	25	A (No response.)

	Case	1:19 cr 00227	7 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 79 of 186
			79 Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
11:52AM	1	Q	Where did the ASAC sit?
	2	А	In Albany. Oh. Yeah, "ALB" is most likely Albany.
	3	Q	So do you know what that reference is?
	4	А	So that would be
11:52AM	5	Q	If you know?
	6	А	Well, that reference would typically be that the
	7	operationa	al plan and the 12 was sent to Albany for review and
	8	approval.	
	9	Q	Do you know who wrote that?
11:52AM	10	А	I do not.
	11	Q	That's not your initials?
	12	А	No.
	13	MR. 7	TRIPI: We can zoom out of that.
	14	Q	I'm going to skip down to Box 18 at this point.
11:53AM	15		And before I ask you to get into the details here,
	16	who's resp	ponsible for writing all of the words in this safety
	17	plan?	
	18	А	That would be the case agent.
	19	Q	And in this case you saw that was the defendant?
11:53AM	20	А	Correct.
	21	Q	Does that include this narrative in box 18?
	22	А	Yes, sir.
	23	Q	And could you read what was written by the
	24	defendant	in that box?
11:53AM	25	А	"On May 6th, 2013, agents from Enforcement Group
		1	

11:53AM	1	D-57 will	monitor a buy/walk between a DEA confidential
	2	source (p	ending CS number) and T.S. at Kelly's
	3	Korner Ba	r located at 2526 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo,
	4	New York.	The DEA CS will meet S. for the purpose of
11:54AM	5	purchasin	g approximately one third ounce of cocaine and also
	6	making a	partial payment towards prior debt owed by S.
	7	The CS wi	ll also utilize the meeting to negotiate future
	8	cocaine p	ourchases from S. The DEA CS has witnessed
	9	S. and ot	her associates distributing large quantities of
11:54AM	10	cocaine a	nd marijuana in the recent past. It should be known
	11	that S. i	s a trusted associate of the Ronald Serio DTO.
	12	According	to recent toll analysis of Serio's cellular phone,
	13	S. routin	ely communicates with Serio and allegedly
	14	organizes	the transportation and delivery of cocaine and
11:54AM	15	marijuana	for this Serio DTO."
	16	Q	Can I stop you there for a moment.
	17		You mentioned it earlier. What is toll analysis?
	18	А	Toll analysis is where you prepare a subpoena, send
	19	that subp	oena to a cell company provider, collect information
11:55AM	20	from that	cell provider on all of the numbers used by that
	21	particula	r cell phone, and then conduct analysis of those
	22	numbers.	
	23	Q	To see what numbers are communicating with what
	24	other num	bers?
11:55AM	25	А	To, to to establish organizational links but

also to obtain subscriber data on all of the different phones 11:55AM 1 and see who is members of the organization. 2 3

Can you continue, please, reading.

S. had solicited the DEA CS to assist the DTO by transporting narcotics from New York City" -- I'm sorry, NYC and in acquiring stash locations for the Serio DTO in the Buffalo area. DEA agents are coordinating the investigation with the AUSA, WDNY, the office of the New York State Attorney General, the New York State Police, the Buffalo FBI, and the Buffalo IRS and working towards possible wire intercepts of the Serio DTO."

Okay. Let me stop you there for a moment. Q

We go back above. There were no personnel from the FBI, state police or New York State Attorney General's Office listed as being part of the operational team; is that correct?

Α That's correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:55AM

11:56AM

11:56AM

11:56AM

11:56AM

MR. TRIPI: Go to the prior page, Ms. Champoux for a moment. I'm sorry. Go to the next page after that. I apologize. And the next one, sorry.

Go to Page 5. Here we go. All right. We'll stop there.

Take a moment to look at Box 21, personnel assignment, do you see that?

Yes, sir, I do. Α

The entire paragraph?

Right there (indicating)?

I think you read that one. I'm sorry. I think you

11:57AM

11:57AM

11:57AM

11:57AM

11:57AM

11:58AM

22

23

24

25

left off at...

Α

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

11:58AM 1 Q Yeah, thank you.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

A "Ron Serio's brother, Thomas Serio, was previously arrested and convicted of trafficking 5 kilograms of cocaine with SOS Robert Mattal. This will be the first buy/walk from T.S. GS John Flickinger will be the supervisor on the scene.

Q When it reads "this will be the first buy/walk from T.S.", what is your understanding on what that means based on your familiarity with DEA jargon?

A What that represents is there were no previous controlled buys from S. and this would be the first time that one's being attempted.

MR. TRIPI: We can zoom out of that, please, Ms.

Champoux. And we can keep scrolling down again. Next page, please. All right.

Q I'd like to focus you in on Box Number 23. Can you tell us what is depicted in box number 23, Mr. Kasprzyk?

A The Box 23 lists out the approvals and preparation. This particular safety plan was prepared by SA Joseph Bongiovanni. It was prepared and dated on the 2nd of May, 2013. It was approved by GS John Flickinger.

And if you go to the far right, that box on the far right, those are my initials DMK, 5/2/13. So this operational plan was sent to me for my review and approval.

And then the final box below is approved by ASAC.

11:58AM

11:58AM

11:58AM

16

11:59AM

22

24

11:59AM 25

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi And in this case, was acting ASAC Michael Shelhamer. 11:59AM 1 Now before you approved it, based upon -- do you 2 3 have any personal recollection of this event? I do not. 12:00PM 5 Based upon your review of this and your routine practice at the point in time when you signed off and 6 initialed it, would you have -- part of your routine practice 7 have discussed the funding of it with Michael Shelhamer? 8 9 Yes, sir. And if it was not going to be approved by the ASAC, 12:00PM 10 11 would you have bothered to approve it? 12 MR. SINGER: Objection. Calls for speculation. 13 Q It's --THE COURT: Overruled. 14 12:00PM 15 -- organizational. 16 THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled. 17 Α No. Did you understand my question? 18 19 I did. 12:00PM Yes, if the ASAC had denied the expense, the 20 21 purchase of the narcotics, then I would have reported that 22 back to the GS and we would not have been able to do the 23 deal. 24 So your signature, your initials would not be

12:00PM 25 there?

Whose job is it to inform supervision when an

12:00PM

12:00PM

12:01PM

12:01PM

12:01PM

12:01PM

22

23

24

25

go?

Α

Q

I do not.

operation is not going to go?

Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi

		Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
12:02PM	1	A That would be the responsibility of the case agent.
	2	Q So, in this case that would be the defendant?
	3	A Correct.
	4	Q So at some point between the 2nd and the 6th, based
12:02PM	5	upon your review of these documents and DEA practice, the
	6	defendant informed supervision that this buy was not going to
	7	go?
	8	MR. SINGER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
	9	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
12:02PM	10	MR. SINGER: No personal knowledge.
	11	THE COURT: Sustained.
	12	${f Q}$ What is your understanding of this document based
	13	upon the signatures you see and the dates involved?
	14	MR. SINGER: Objection. Same.
12:02PM	15	MR. TRIPI: Judge, this is an organizational practice
	16	and this is the supervising
	17	THE COURT: No, I understand. I just I don't quite
	18	understand the question. So, I'm going to sustain the
	19	objection to the form of the question.
12:02PM	20	MR. TRIPI: Okay. I understand, your Honor.
	21	Q Whose job let's step it back. What is the case
	22	agent's job as it relates to planning a controlled buy?
	23	A The case agent orchestrates the purchase. He
	24	interacts with the informant. He talks with the informant
1		

12:03PM 25 about the buy. He arranges for that buy to occur. Once

established that the practice was the documents would not

ASAC level was not going to approve it. So we know it's

have had his sign off if the funds were not available and the

approved. And then we know from the organizational practice,

routine practice, that it's the case agent's job to let the

12:03PM

12:03PM

12:03PM

12:03PM

12:04PM

12:04PM

21

22

23

24

25

12:04PM	1	supervision know whether or not the buy is going to go
	2	through.
	3	THE COURT: Right.
	4	MR. TRIPI: And so, here, we don't have the final
12:05PM	5	sign-off, and we don't have a buy that occurred because of
	6	some
	7	THE COURT: How do we know we don't have a buy that
	8	occurred?
	9	MR. TRIPI: Because there's not the final sign-off.
12:05PM	10	THE COURT: I think you need to establish that.
	11	MR. TRIPI: I thought I did, Judge, but I can ask some
	12	more questions.
	13	MR. SINGER: Here's the problem is that they're bringing
	14	up an individual that has a extraordinarily limited
12:05PM	15	recollection of what happened and professed on the stand that
	16	he has no personal knowledge of this buy.
	17	THE COURT: Right.
	18	MR. SINGER: They're trying to use these documents to
	19	show that something may or may not have happened. But the
12:05PM	20	problem is that Mr. Kasprzyk knows that he signed the form.
	21	He's identified that.
	22	THE COURT: Yep.
	23	MR. SINGER: He can't say from any personal knowledge,
	24	based on his recollection or anything else, what happened
12:05PM	25	with the form after that. And so the government's trying to

12:05PM	1	instead of using a witness with personal knowledge get him to
	2	testify to what he may think may have happened with it. It's
	3	all speculative, Judge. He has no personal knowledge.
	4	THE COURT: I think he can testify based on the form
12:06PM	5	what it means if there's no signature in a certain spot.
	6	MR. SINGER: Well, Judge, respectfully, he can't testify
	7	to that. He was not the bottom line person in Albany on that
	8	form. He cannot testify to why that person did not sign that
	9	form. He doesn't know.
12:06PM	10	THE COURT: You can voir dire on that.
	11	MR. SINGER: But he doesn't know that fact. That's the
	12	problem is he does not know that fact.
	13	THE COURT: And you can voir dire and if he can't, if he
	14	can't establish that, then I'll preclude the question but I
12:06PM	15	think he can testify what signatures on the form mean or
	16	don't do web.
	17	MR. TRIPI: This is the original form.
	18	THE COURT: Do we have the original form?
	19	MR. TRIPI: This is the same document that came in at
12:06PM	20	the last trial as part of a larger file.
	21	THE COURT: My question is how do we know that there's
	22	not another version?
	23	MR. TRIPI: Because we have the whole file.
	24	MR. SINGER: All we know is that this was in the file.
12:06PM	25	MR. TRIPI: Judge, this is nothing different than

12:06PM	1	absence of a entry in business record with someone from
	2	organizational knowledge he has to testify about that.
	3	THE COURT: I understand that but he can't testify to
	4	what that signature means. It could mean other things.
12:07PM	5	MR. TRIPI: I think what Mr. Singer is saying is that he
	6	doesn't want it in because it hurts him.
	7	THE COURT: No, no, no.
	8	MR. TRIPI: And cross-examination would be appropriate
	9	but this is clearly highly probative damaging testimony that
12:07PM	10	he doesn't want in.
	11	THE COURT: If you can establish a foundation for his
	12	ability to testify from this document what happened or didn't
	13	happen, I'll allow it, okay. But you've got to establish
	14	that foundation first.
12:07PM	15	(Open court:)
	16	Q Based upon your understanding of these documents
	17	and the signatures that you see on here, and based upon your
	18	knowledge of how the DEA operates, between May 2nd, 2013,
	19	when the funding was approved by you, and May 6th, 2013, when
12:08PM	20	the operation was planned, what transpired?
	21	MR. SINGER: Again, objection, Judge. We're asking for
	22	the witness to testify to something outside of his personal
	23	knowledge.
	24	THE COURT: Do you know what transpired based on the
12:08PM	25	document?

12:08PM	1	THE WITNESS: When you ask what transpired, sir, are you
	2	asking, like, what happened between the informant and Agent
	3	Bongiovanni?
	4	THE COURT: Mr. Tripi asked what transpired and I'm
12:08PM	5	saying can you testify to what happened between May 2nd and
	6	May 6th based on this document?
	7	THE WITNESS: (No response.)
	8	${f Q}$ Do you know the may I ask a question Judge?
	9	THE COURT: Sure.
12:08PM	10	Q Do you know the buy did not go forward?
	11	A Yes, I know the buy did not happen.
	12	Q Okay. Based on your training and experience and
	13	DEA's practice, what are some common reasons for buys not
	14	going forward after
12:09PM	15	THE COURT: Wait. How
	16	Q the
	17	THE COURT: How do you know the buy didn't go forward?
	18	THE WITNESS: The funds were never released.
	19	THE COURT: How do you know the funds were never
12:09PM	20	released?
	21	THE WITNESS: There was no signature by the ASAC.
	22	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
	23	${f Q}$ And so with that established, who is the person
	24	responsible for reporting whether the buy is going forward or
12:09PM	25	not before the funds get released, the case agent's job is to

		Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
12:09PM	1	do what?
	2	A Is to work with the confidential source and
	3	organize the buy.
	4	Q And then do what as it relates to notifying
12:09PM	5	supervision?
	6	A Once those arrangements once those details are,
	7	are finalized and formulated, the case agent would meet with
	8	the Group Supervisor and let them know the status of the buy
	9	and how it was going to go.
12:09PM	10	Q And so here, the buy never went through?
	11	A In this case, there is no ASAC signature. Funds
	12	were not released because it needs to have an ASAC signature
	13	for that to happen.
	14	Q But you had put all the parameters in place for the
12:10PM	15	funds to be approved is that correct?
	16	A Correct. The operation was approved by the GS and
	17	by myself.
	18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Do you know what the defendant reported to
	19	Group Supervisor Flickinger or yourself about why the buy
12:10PM	20	wasn't going through?
	21	A I do not.
	22	Q Okay. Would whatever information that was reported
	23	depend upon this defendant's truthfulness?
	24	A Yes.

12:11PM 25 MR. TRIPI: We can take that down, Ms. Champoux.

12:11PM 1 Q Switching to another gear, Mr. Kasprzyk. Did you speak with the defendant some time shortly 2 3 after he retired from the DEA in or about February, early February, February 1st, 2019? 4 12:11PM 5

Α Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12:11PM

12:11PM

12:12PM

12:12PM

And can you describe for the jury what that conversation was?

Joe had retired from DEA. He called me. interested in securing a job, an after DEA job. He was asking about working potentially for M&T Bank.

And can you describe more about that conversation?

Yes. We talked briefly. I referred him to a contracting agency that I knew was hiring, hiring retired law enforcement officers. I suggested that he reach out to them. Joe then talked briefly about the pending investigation that was happening against him.

And what did Mr. Bongiovanni say to you about the criminal investigation into him? Withdrawn. investigation into him, sorry.

He complained that he was being unfairly targeted, that another agent in the DEA office, Tony Casullo had it out for him and he felt that he was being unfairly targeted.

Did he say why? Q

Α No.

Did he give you details? Q

Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 94 of 186
	94 Kasprzyk – Direct – Tripi
1	A No.
2	Q Do you know Special Agent Casullo?
3	A I know him, yes.
4	${f Q}$ Had you ever worked with him or did he come to the
5	DEA Buffalo office after you left?
6	A Yeah, I don't know him well. He came to the DEA
7	office after I had retired from the Buffalo office.
8	Q After he made those comments to you, what was your
9	response to the defendant?
10	A (No response.)
11	Q If you recall?
12	A I was sympathetic but I really didn't have much to
13	say because I didn't really know anything about the
14	investigation that was happening.
15	Q Did the defendant say anything else to you about
16	that
17	A No, sir.
18	Q at that point? What was your, what was your
19	impression of the defendant's demeanor pertaining to the
20	investigation?
21	A He was very concerned.
22	MR. TRIPI: One moment, please, your Honor.
23	(WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)
24	MR. TRIPI: I'm done with direct, your Honor.

12:15PM 25 **THE COURT:** Okay. So we are going to take our noon

12:12PM

12:12PM

12:12PM

12:13PM

12:13PM

		Kasprzyk - Direct - Tripi
12:15PM	1	recess now, folks.
	2	Please remember my instructions about not discussing any
	3	aspect of this case with anyone, including each other. Don't
	4	do any research on your own. Don't use tools of technology
12:15PM	5	either to research the case or communicate about the case
	6	with anyone and don't read or watch or listen to any news
	7	coverage about the case, if any is N progress. And don't
	8	make up your mind about anything until the case is submitted
	9	to you.
12:15PM	10	We'll see you back here at about 1:15 or so, okay?
	11	Thanks.
	12	(WHEREUPON, jury excused for luncheon recess.)
	13	THE COURT: Okay. Anything for the record before we
	14	break?
12:16PM	15	MR. TRIPI: Not from the government.
	16	MR. SINGER: Just to admonish the witness not to discuss
	17	his testimony during the break.
	18	THE COURT: Yes. You're not to discuss your testimony
	19	with Mr. Tripi or anyone on the government team, or anyone
12:16PM	20	during the lunch break.
	21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	22	THE COURT: Great.
	23	Thank you. See you all in about an hour.
	24	(WHEREUPON, luncheon recess taken.)
1:24PM	25	(Open court, defendant and jury present.)

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:24PM 1 THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. The record will reflect that all our jurors are present. 2 I remind the witness that he's still under oath. 3 And, Mr. Singer, you may begin. 4 1:24PM 5 MR. SINGER: Thank you, Judge. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SINGER: 6 Hi, Mr. Kasprzyk, how are you. 7 Q Α Good afternoon. 8 9 So, my understanding is that you were the group 1:24PM 10 supervisor of D-57 back in 2009; is that right? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 And later after that in 2011, you were promoted up Q 13 to become the Resident Agent in Charge, the RAC of the DEA 14 Buffalo office in 2011? 1:25PM 15 Α Yes. 16 And then you stayed in that position as the RAC Q 17 from 2011 till 2013 when you retired, is that right? 18 Correct. Α 19 Do you recall about when in 2013 you retired? Q 1:25PM 20 It was September-ish, right around in there. Α 21 So some time toward the end of the summer? Q 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 I know sometimes in federal jobs I know sometimes Q 24 people have personal leave where you're able to take off the 1:25PM 25 last couple of weeks before you officially retire to cash in

Another group you mentioned was D-58?

24

25

1:26PM

Α

Q

Yes, sir.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:26PM 1 A Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1:26PM

1:26PM

1:26PM

1:27PM

1:27PM

A No, sir. I'm talking about the -- I don't believe that the tactical diversion group was in place prior to my retirement. That, I believe, happened afterwards. I'm talking about the diversion group.

Q Okay.

A The standard diversion group.

Q All right. So you mentioned that D-57 is staffed primarily with DEA special agents, along with some local task force officers to support?

A Yes, sir.

Q And then the D-58, that's staffed primarily with task force officers?

A Yes, sir.

Q So, as far as D-57 is concerned, do they investigate narcotics crimes very similar to the same ones that D-58 investigates?

A Yes, sir.

Q So the primary difference between the two groups is that one's composed primarily of special agents from the DEA and D-58, the other one's, composed mainly of task force officers?

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
1:27PM	1	A Yes.
	2	Q But functionally speaking, they investigate the
	3	same types of crimes?
	4	A That's correct.
1:27PM	5	${f Q}$ And as far as the diversion group that was in place
	6	at that time, what's the purpose of the diversion group? I
	7	don't think you ever got into that.
	8	A The diversion group handles regulatory
	9	investigations that would be primarily on DEA registrants, so
1:27PM	10	doctors, pharmacies, people that are given a DEA registration
	11	number to be able to distribute controlled substances
	12	lawfully.
	13	Q Yeah, so to break that down a little bit. So, if
	14	I'm a physician and I prescribe certain drugs that are
1:28PM	15	considered scheduled drugs under the Controlled Substances
	16	Act?
	17	A Right.
	18	${f Q}$ The DEA provides me with a license to distribute
	19	those drugs?
1:28PM	20	A That's right.
1		

Q And then the Diversion Group's responsibility is to make sure that the doctor who's writing those prescriptions is doing it correctly?

A That's correct.

21

22

23

24

25

1:28PM

Q And as far as the pharmacies that have the drugs

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:28PM 1 the doctor writes the prescription for, the Diversion Group
2 is also responsible to make sure the pharmacies are operating
3 under the law?

A That's correct.

1:28PM

1:28PM

1:28PM

1:29PM

1:29PM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So they're not going out investigating street crime or street level crimes like a D-57 or D-58 agent would do, correct?

A Typically not, yes, sir.

 ${f Q}$ Okay. But are there times when people sometimes support from the Diversion Group operations going on with D-57 and D-58?

A Make sure I understand your question. Would the diversion group support a enforcement operation?

Q Correct.

A If that enforcement operation was targeting a doctor or a pharmacy, the Diversion Group might be involved in that investigation to help support it, yes.

Q Okay. But as far as operations that are occurring, like a search warrant execution, if you needed extra manpower at a search warrant site, would Diversion Group agents sometimes help out in that responsibility?

A For the initial entry on the search warrant?

Q Overall.

A Again, only if that target of investigation was a DEA registrant and there was a need to have Diversion there

Case 1:19 or 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 101 of 186					
		101 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer			
		Raspizyk Closs Singer			
1:29PM	1	to assist in the search warrant.			
	2	Q Okay. And as far as D-57 and 58 were concerned, if			
	3	someone was doing that search warrant type of operation like			
	4	I just described, if 58 had some extra people that 57 needed			
1:29PM	5	on that particular day because of manning, would they			
	6	sometimes support the operation 57 was running?			
	7	A Yes.			
	8	Q And the same thing goes with 58?			
	9	A Yes, sir.			
1:29PM	10	Q Okay. So as far as the breakdowns of the groups,			
	11	you mentioned that every group is, is run by a front line			
	12	supervisor who's the GS, correct?			
	13	A Yes, sir.			
	14	Q And that group supervisor's is in charge of all the			
1:30PM	15	agents within that one particular group?			
	16	A Yes, sir.			
	17	Q And you mentioned that when you were part of D-57			
	18	and you were the GS of 57, you managed roughly about 12 to 13			
	19	different agents under you in that position, correct?			
1:30PM	20	A It was a combination of agents and task force			
	21	officers combined.			
	22	Q So 12 to 13 different people inside the group you			
	23	managed as the GS, correct?			
	24	A Correct.			
1:30PM	25	Q And you had supervisor responsibility over all			

	Case	1:19 cr 00227		,
			Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer	102
1:30PM	1	those peo	ple in the group?	
	2	А	Yes, sir.	
	3	Q	So, I mean, part of your job as a supervisor is	to
	4	look afte	r them, correct?	
1:30PM	5	A	Yes, sir.	
	6	Q	To teach them, correct?	
	7	А	Yes, sir.	
	8	Q	And sometimes to allocate resources, correct?	
	9	A	I didn't hear your question.	
1:30PM	10	Q	And sometimes your job as the GS is also to	
	11	allocate	resources, correct?	
	12	А	Outkeep?	
	13	Q	Allocate resources?	
	14	А	Allocate. I'm sorry.	
1:31PM	15	Q	Okay.	
	16	А	I didn't hear your word.	
	17	Q	That's okay.	
	18	А	In terms of personnel or in terms of funding, wh	ıat
	19	resources	are you specific specifically?	
1:31PM	20	Q	Well, let's start, first, with personnel. So, a	ıS
	21	part of y	our job as the GS of the group, did you have some	;
	22	control o	ver where people would go sometimes?	
	23	А	Yes.	
	24	Q	And you'd have control over what people would	
1:31PM	25	sometimes	focus on as far as investigations, correct?	

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:31PM 1 A Yes.

2.1

1:31PM

1:32PM

1:32PM

1:32PM

1:32PM

Q So, for instance, if there was a particular operation going on in one of part of the day, you may choose as the group's supervisor to say, hey, we need more personnel to work on this one particular case and you'd task those people to not investigate the cases they were working on and, instead, focus on that one particular project.

A No. I wouldn't tell them not to investigate another case. I may have to redeploy resources to assist an active investigation, one in which we're going out on the street to make a buy or to conduct a surveillance operation. Those resources would be reallocated temporarily to support that street operation but once that's done, agents would then continue to be able to work whatever other cases that they would have going on.

Q I think that's what I'm getting at is that when you had a particular operation that required more than just the case agent -- let's say it required ten different people to be there -- you may pull people from your group to focus on that one particular event, correct?

A Correct.

Q And, so, as far as the money resourcing, I know you mentioned that when you were the RAC, you'd be responsible for the overall budget of the office, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:32PM 1 Q When you were GS, did you also have responsibilities with regard to money?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1:32PM

1:33PM

1:33PM

1:33PM

- A I would have responsibilities to approve buys and approve payments to informants. So that would be, you know, when it comes to the money and the expenditure of money, that would be my responsibility.
- **Q** So you would make a recommendation to the RAC of the office as to whether or not certain funds should be allocated to a particular operation, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- **Q** And then it was up to the RAC to make a decision about whether or not he or she agreed with that decision, correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And then you mentioned there was a further process where all of those decisions from the RAC perspective need to get forwarded to Albany to the ASAC for ultimate approval, correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. So, as far as the DEA is concerned, you mentioned that the focus of the DEA is to enforce the drug laws under the Controlled Substances Act, correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Title 21?
- 1:33PM 25 A Correct.

-- in that time period, yes.

type of cases involving other drugs, right?

That's correct.

Yeah, I'm not saying that you don't focus on other

1:33PM

1:33PM

1:34PM

1:34PM

1:34PM

1:34PM

22

23

24

25

Α

Q

Α

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:34PM	1	Q So, for instance, you mentioned marijuana was a
	2	case type drug that you would investigate as far as D-57 was
	3	concerned?
	4	A Yes. I mean, the investigations our targets of
1:34PM	5	investigations would often involve the three drugs that you
	6	mentioned. But they were also sometimes driven by the type
	7	of violater, the individual violator himself. So, if it was
	8	a known violator that was involved in drug trafficking, we
	9	might target him and it wouldn't be cocaine, powder cocaine,
1:35PM	10	or crack cocaine, it might be some other type of drug. So
	11	that would also influence our decision to open a case file.
	12	Q But when you were the GS back in 2009, it's still a
	13	fair statement that the majority of cases that were
	14	investigated in the group involved powder cocaine, crack
1:35PM	15	cocaine, and heroin, correct?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And fentanyl. As fentanyl make more ubiquitous
	18	within the drug supply within the United States, is that
	19	something that happened back in 2009 or a little bit later
1:35PM	20	when you started to become the RAC of the office?
	21	A It was later it started to happen. I think the
	22	focus in '09 up until my retirement was the change with
	23	pharmaceuticals and the diversion of pharmaceuticals
	24	hydrocodone, OxyContin, Opana, that became part of our work
1:36PM	25	with the DEA.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

	Raspizyk Cioss Singer
1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Certainly. And I think, you know, whether it
2	was heroin, your understanding your training and
3	experience is an opiate, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And the prescription narcotics you just talked
6	about and other type of drugs like that, they're also
7	opiate-based medication, correct?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	${f Q}$ And when we talk about fentanyl. Fentanyl is an
10	opiate type of, of drug, as well, correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	${f Q}$ And fair to say that the focus of the DEA on the
13	opiates was in response to the opioid addiction problem that
14	was ongoing within our community?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q So as far as the particular drugs, would you agree
17	with me that there are, there are drugs that are more
18	dangerous than others?
19	MR. SINGER: Objection. 403.
20	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
21	MR. TRIPI: Objection. Rule 403.
22	THE COURT: No, overruled.
23	A Yes.
24	${f Q}$ And so when we talk about the opiates that we just
25	spoke about heroin, fentanyl, the opioid medication are
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

18 A Yes, sir.

1:37PM

1:37PM

1:37PM

1:37PM

1:38PM

1:39PM

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q All right, perfect.

So, as far as the exhibit -- so, prior to coming to court today, you gave a couple different statements to investigators and prosecutors about your involvement and understanding of this case; is that right?

- A Prior to when?
- Q Prior to coming into court today --

	Case	1:19 cr 0022 7	
			109 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:39PM	1	А	Yes.
	2	Q	you spoke to a couple different people about the
	3	events th	at we all talked about
	4	А	Yes, sir.
1:39PM	5	Q	in court today, correct?
	6	А	Yes, sir.
	7	Q	And fair statement that prior to today, you never
	8	talked ab	out this one particular document before
	9	(indicati	ng)?
1:39PM	10	А	That's correct.
	11	Q	Okay. So I was trying to figure out when the first
	12	time that	you were presented this document. Was it today?
	13	А	It might have been not today maybe during prep for
	14	this tria	l, so maybe a few days ago.
1:39PM	15	Q	So
	16	А	Week ago.
	17	Q	So a few days ago was the first time you saw this
	18	document?	
	19	А	Yes, since I initially signed it back several years
1:39PM	20	ago but,	yes.
	21	Q	That's what I'm getting at.
	22	А	Yeah.
	23	Q	So the first time that you saw this document since
	24	May of 20	13 was a few days ago?
1:40PM	25	А	Correct.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

- 1:40PM 1 Q And that was during a witness prep session with the 2 government?
 - A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1:40PM

1:40PM

1:40PM

1:41PM

- **Q** Were there any agents with you from the government during that time?
 - A No, sir, we did it via zoom.
- **Q** Do you know if anyone was taking any particular notes during that particular conversation?
- A I do not know.
 - **Q** So this particular document, when you were the RAC of the office in Buffalo back in 2011 to 2013, did you keep any type of notes?
- A On occasion, yes.
 - Q I guess what I'm getting at -- I'm in the Navy.

 I'm responsible for 30 people and I write down information to keep everything straight about what's going on. So, did you have a book or some type of journal or something else along those lines where you keep notes about what was going on day to day in the office?
 - A I did not, no.
 - **Q** Did you keep any type of "to do list" about things that you needed to get done at the office at the time you were the RAC?
 - A On occasion, yes.
- 1:41PM 25 Q And since you retired, did you maintain any type of

that correct?

That's correct. Α

1:41PM

1:41PM

1:41PM

1:41PM

1:42PM

1:42PM

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I want to go through it a little bit more specifically with the stuff that you may remember.

So, if we could turn to Page 2 of the form, MR. SINGER: Ms. Champoux. And if we could zoom in on the second paragraph.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:42PM	1	Q	So, this one particular paragraph, you remember
	2	talking a	bout on direct how this related information about
	3	other age	ncies or offices that were involved as part of the
	4	investiga	tion?
1:42PM	5	А	Yes, sir.
	6	Q	And this one particular paragraph, it's referring
	7	to the in	vestigation of the Ron Serio Drug Trafficking
	8	Organizat	ion and those people who participated in that
	9	investiga	tion?
1:42PM	10	А	Yes, sir.
	11	Q	Do you have any specific recollection of who was
	12	involved	as far as agents in that particular investigation
	13	from peop	le outside the DEA?
	14	А	I do not.
1:43PM	15	Q	Do you have any type of specific recollection about
	16	investiga	tion that was ongoing in 2013 regarding Ron Serio's
	17	DTO?	
	18	А	I do not.
	19	Q	It's just too long ago for you to remember anything
1:43PM	20	specific?	
	21	А	Yes.

22 **Q** All right. So, as far as this one particular
23 paragraph, this is referring to other agencies and offices
24 that may be involved in the investigation at that time,
25 correct?

1:43PM

And this particular operation involving this

controlled buy, this was a DEA operation, right?

It was DEA-led, correct.

23

24

25

1:44PM

Q

Α

		Raspizyk - Closs - Singer
1:44PM	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And sometimes even though other agencies might be
1.44111		
	2	involved in an allegation, it's not uncommon for DEA just to
	3	run an investigation or operation by themselves, correct?
	4	A It, it happens.
1:44PM	5	Q Yeah. So for this one particular operation here,
	6	this was a controlled buy, correct?
	7	A Correct.
	8	${f Q}$ And this was something, based on what you can see
	9	on this paper, that the DEA was staffing, correct?
1:44PM	10	A Correct.
	11	${f Q}$ And it wouldn't be outside the ordinary for other
	12	agencies that may be involved in a larger investigation not
	13	to participate in one particular operation, correct?
	14	A Typically with a joint investigation, where you
1:45PM	15	have other agencies involved, you would ask those agencies to
	16	participate in the buy.
	17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. So typically that may happen but that's not
	18	required, right?
	19	MR. TRIPI: Objection, argumentative.
1:45PM	20	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. You can answer.
	21	A I didn't understand the question.
	22	Q Typically that might be asked but that's not
	23	required, correct?
	24	A No, it's not required.
1:45PM	25	Q So, for instance, you're the resident agent in

	Case	1:19 cr 00227	
			115 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
1:45PM	1	charge of	the office, correct?
	2	A	Yes, sir.
	3	Q	That's your signature down at the bottom, right, on
	4	that form	?
1:45PM	5	A	Those are my initials, yes, sir.
	6	Q	And that's your handwriting, correct?
	7	A	Correct.
	8	Q	And that's your approval, as you testified on
	9	direct ex	amination, right?
1:45PM	10	А	Yes, sir.
	11	Q	And if you felt the need that you needed to enlist
	12	other peo	ple from other agencies in this particular
	13	operation	, you would have said something to the GS, correct?
	14	А	I would have.
1:45PM	15	Q	But it doesn't appear like you did that here,
	16	correct?	
	17	А	That would have been a decision that I would have
	18	deferred	to the case agent and the GS.
	19	Q	Okay. And, so, since the case agent signed at the
1:46PM	20	bottom ov	er here on the left side, do you see that?
	21	А	I do.
	22	Q	And you see the GS, John Flickinger, signed also,
	23	correct?	
	24	A	Yes, sir.
1:46PM	25	Q	It doesn't appear like they felt the need to have

page, you testified on direct that you claim you spoke with

prior to sending him a completed 12 and 6. I do not have any

specific recollection of that conversation but in all

I testified that typically I would talk to the ASAC

the ASAC in Albany, is that right?

1:46PM

1:46PM

1:46PM

1:46PM

1:46PM

1:47PM

21

22

23

24

25

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
1:47PM	1	controlled buy operations that I'd be submitting to the ASAC
	2	for approval, I would always call them ahead of time and
	3	describe to them what was going to happen.
	4	Q Okay. And so, again, you don't have any specific
1:47PM	5	recollection of who you spoke to, correct?
	6	A Well, Mike Shelhamer would have been the acting
	7	ASAC at the time, so it would have been Mike based on what
	8	was written in this DEA-12.
	9	Q Based on the form. But you don't remember who you
1:47PM	10	may have spoke to?
	11	A I don't have any specific recollection of that
	12	conversation with Mike, that's correct.
	13	Q You don't have any type of notes about that
	14	conversation any more, correct?
1:47PM	15	A I do not.
	16	Q Okay. So, this form was signed by you on the 2nd
	17	of May of 2013, correct?
	18	A That's correct, sir.
	19	Q Looks like it was signed by both Special Agent
1:48PM	20	Bongiovanni and GS John Flickinger on the same date, correct?
	21	A Yes, sir.
	22	Q And do you recall what day of the week that was
	23	back in 2013?
	24	A I do not.
1:48PM	25	Q Would taking a look at a calendar perhaps refresh

1:49PM 20

1:48PM

1:48PM

1:49PM

1:49PM

21

23

24

1:49PM 25

Q Okay. And it's part of common practice in your office when support staff send something to Albany, do they normally note the time and date that they do that?

A Typically, yes he.

 ${f Q}$ So you wouldn't have any disagreement with me that

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 119 of 186
		119 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
		Raspizyk Closs Singer
1:49PM	1	that this is a statement about when this was sent to Albany?
	2	A It appears to be that, sir.
	3	${f Q}$ So this was sent, this package for the controlled
	4	operation, to Albany at the end of the day on Thursday, the
1:50PM	5	2nd?
	6	A Correct.
	7	Q And then the 3rd would be Friday, correct?
	8	A Correct.
	9	${f Q}$ Now this operation was planned for the 6th of May
1:50PM	10	of 2013, correct?
	11	A Yes, sir.
	12	Q And that would be a Monday, correct?
	13	A Yes, sir.
	14	Q So there was an intervening weekend over the time,
1:50PM	15	correct?
	16	A Correct.
	17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ All right. So, you had mentioned that there are a
	18	number of different reasons why operations sometimes don't
	19	move forward, correct?
1:50PM	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	Q So, one of the particular reasons could potentially
	22	be that a controlled sorry, a confidential source that
	23	you're using may flake, right, they're not willing to move
	24	forward with the operation any more?
1:50PM	25	MR. TRIPI: Objection to the term "flake". The witness

	Case	1:19 er 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 120 of 186
		120 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:50PM	1	didn't use that term.
	2	THE COURT: No. Overruled.
	3	A The word again that you used?
	4	Q Sure. Is it possible that sometimes CSs flake,
1:50PM	5	they don't want to move forward with something any more and,
	6	therefore, that's the reason why operations don't move
	7	forward?
	8	A Flake?
	9	THE COURT: Are you not familiar with that term?
1:51PM	10	A I'm not familiar with
	11	THE COURT: Then rephrase the question.
	12	Q So, does sometimes CSs, in your experience as an
	13	agent for over 25 years, get cold feet?
	14	A Yes, that happens.
1:51PM	15	Q And sometimes operations can't move forward because
	16	that's the reason?
	17	A Yes, sir.
	18	Q And in your experience, do sometimes CSs have
	19	trouble getting in touch with the target of a particular
1:51PM	20	operation?
	21	A Yes, sir.
	22	${f Q}$ And is that sometimes a reason why an operation
	23	can't move forward?
	24	A Yes, sir.
1:51PM	25	${f Q}$ And sometimes the target of investigations in your

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 121 of 186
		121 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
1:51PM	1	experience may agree to meet up but never show up?
	2	A Yes, sir, that does happen.
	3	Q Sometimes that causes operations not to move
	4	forward?
1:51PM	5	A Correct.
	6	Q In your experience there might be some logistical
	7	issues that sometimes impact the ability to execute an
	8	operation on a particular day, correct?
	9	A With "logistical issues", what you specifically
1:52PM	10	referring to?
	11	Q So let's talk about personnel. Like you need a
	12	certain number of personnel to staff an operation, correct?
	13	A Correct.
	14	Q To do it safely, correct?
1:52PM	15	A Yes, sir.
	16	Q And if you had insufficient number of personnel on
	17	a particular date and time to run an operation, that might
	18	cause an operation to get canceled, correct?
	19	A Yes, sir, it could impact the operation.
1:52PM	20	Q The same thing is about money. To do a controlled
	21	buy, you need funds to get approved?
	22	A That's correct.

And if funds don't get approved, that might cause

an operation not to move forward, correct?

A Yes, sir.

23

24

25

1:52PM

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 122 of 186
		122 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:52PM	1	Q So, with regard to fund approval
	2	MR. SINGER: Ms. Champoux, can you move to Page 6 of the
	3	exhibit, please.
	4	Thank you very much, Ms. Champoux.
1:52PM	5	Q So, you talked a little bit about this form. This
	6	is called a DEA-12 form, right?
	7	A Yes, sir.
	8	Q And the purpose of this form is to requisition
	9	official government funds for purposes of a controlled buy?
1:53PM	10	A Yes, sir.
	11	Q And, so, what we're looking at here is the amount
	12	of money that potentially is going to be approved for a
	13	controlled buy, right?
	14	A Yes, sir.
1:53PM	15	${f Q}$ And that's that thousand dollar figure up in the
	16	left side of the document?
	17	A Correct.
	18	Q And there's signoffs that happen as part of the
	19	approval process, right?
1:53PM	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	Q We're talking about official government funds so it
	22	needs to be accounted for, correct?
	23	A Correct.
	24	Q So, I'm assuming periodically in the office as the
1:53PM	25	RAC, people from the DEA may come to audit what happened with

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

1:53PM 1 official funds?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1:53PM

1:54PM

1:54PM

1:54PM

1:54PM

- A Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q And so the purpose of this form is to show someone who later shows up and asks where did the money go, where it went?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - **Q** And, so, as part of the approval process, the group supervisor's in charge of the case agent -- in this case John Flickinger in charge of Mr. John Bongiovanni -- needs to sign off on his approval for the funds to be released, right?
- A Correct.
- **Q** And after the GS makes a determination that's proper, they send the form to you, correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- **Q** Put it in your inbox?
- A Either in my inbox or they'd come and talk to me about it depending on the urgency of the operation.
- **Q** And then as a RAC, your decision is to decide whether or not to allow the funds to be used, right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- **Q** And so your signature reflected on this document shows that you made that approval process, right?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And then the next step of the process that we talked about is that the SAC -- or, I'm sorry, the ASAC in

Albany, or the acting ASAC in Albany, the person who's in 1:54PM 1 2 charge of the RAC in Buffalo, needs to also approve those 3 funds? Yes, sir. 4 1:54PM 5 Q And you mentioned that when funds are approved, a signature is placed on this form, correct? 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 And we're talking about 2013. So, would this form 8 Q 9 be emailed to Albany or would it be delivered? How would it 1:55PM 10 get there? 11 A Faxed. 12 Okay. And then if the ASAC signed the form, it Q 13 would be faxed back with the signature? 14 Yes, sir. Α 1:55PM 15 And then there's another form -- and I realize it's 16 not in this exhibit -- but you're familiar with a DEA-13 17 form, correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 And so that's another form that goes to show the 0 1:55PM 20 money trail as far as a controlled buy using official funds, 21 correct? 22 I haven't used a DEA-13 form in a number of years, 23 so it -- honestly have minimal recollection of a DEA-13 form. 24 Sure. Let me see if I can help refresh your memory Q about it. 1:55PM 25

1:55PM	1	So, you're aware that after the approval on the
	2	DEA-12, there's another form that gets signed by the case
	3	agent as well as the confidential source who's getting the
	4	funds themselves, right?
1:55PM	5	A Yes.
	6	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And I realize you may not know what the particular
	7	form number is now but you remember back in your days in the
	8	DEA that the source and the case agent would sign a form that
	9	would actually allow the source to take those funds?
1:56PM	10	A Correct.
	11	Q And then after the operation was finished, if there
	12	was any money left over, the source and the case agent would
	13	also sign that form to indicate what happened with those
	14	funds, that you returned the unused portion of the funds?
1:56PM	15	A Yes, I believe that's my recollection, as well.
	16	${f Q}$ Okay. And so with regard to the DEA-12 here,
	17	clearly it's not signed by the ASAC, correct?
	18	A That's correct.
	19	Q Or the acting ASAC in this case, correct?
1:56PM	20	A Correct.
	21	Q But. You don't recall any specific conversations
	22	you may have had with the ASAC about this one particular
	23	operation?
	24	A I do not.
1:56PM	25	Q And you can't say why this operation didn't move

	Case	1:19 er 0022	
			126 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:56PM	1	forward d	efinitively?
	2	А	I do not know.
	3	Q	So, for instance, you don't recall any
	4	conversat	ions you had with a Joseph Bongiovanni about why the
1:56PM	5	operation	couldn't move forward, right?
	6	А	Correct.
	7	Q	You don't recall any conversations you had with GS
	8	Flickinge	r about why the operation couldn't move forward?
	9	А	Correct.
1:57PM	10	Q	So, any type of opinion about why the operation may
	11	not have	moved forward is just speculation on your part right
	12	now, righ	t?
	13	А	Correct.
	14	Q	So I want to move back a little bit to 2009. So,
1:57PM	15	Mr. Bongi	ovanni was working in D-57 at the time, right?
	16	А	Yes, sir.
	17	Q	In 2009 you were the GS of D-57, correct?
	18	А	Yes, sir.
	19	Q	And you were his first line supervisor, right?
1:57PM	20	А	Correct.
	21	Q	You were in charge of working with him on a
	22	day-to-da	y basis?
	23	А	Yes, sir.
	24	Q	Mentoring him on a day-to-day basis?
1:57PM	25	А	Yes, sir.

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 127 of 186
		127 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:57PM	1	Q You're in charge with reviewing his work product?
	2	A Yes, sir.
	3	Q You're in charge of monitoring his progress with
	4	certain investigations?
1:57PM	5	A Yes, sir.
	6	Q You had the ability to approve confidential sources
	7	that he wanted to use against particular targets?
	8	A That's correct.
	9	Q You had the ability to approve Mr. Bongiovanni
1:58PM	10	using controlled sorry, using confidential sources, right?
	11	A Yes, sir.
	12	Q So, back in November of 2009, the earlier parts of
	13	2009 in November, Mr. Bongiovanni approached you about Peter
	14	Gerace, correct?
1:58PM	15	A Correct.
	16	Q And he approached you about Peter Gerace about
	17	potentially him wanting to cooperate with the federal
	18	government?
	19	A Yes, sir.
1:58PM	20	${f Q}$ And the reason, like we went through on the form
	21	earlier, was that he was facing a probation or a supervised
	22	release violation, right?
	23	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
	24	Q And he was looking to potentially reduce his
1:58PM	25	exposure by cooperating?

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Decument 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 128 of 186 - 1
		128 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
		ndspillin siess singer
1:58PM	1	A Yes, sir.
	2	Q And that's not something that's totally out of the
	3	ordinary when someone gets into a situation like that, right?
	4	A Correct.
1:58PM	5	Q You've had situations before where people who were
	6	on probation or supervised release had a violation and then
	7	wanted to cooperate to benefit themselves, right?
	8	A That has happened in the past, yes, sir.
	9	Q So this was not some weird, out-of-the-norm
1:59PM	10	situation that Mr. Bongiovanni talked to you about that one
	11	particular day?
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q And when you were talking to Mr. Bongiovanni about
	14	that, he indicated to you that he knew Peter Gerace in some
1:59PM	15	fashion?
	16	A Yes, sir.
	17	Q Didn't get into the details of the relationship but
	18	that he knew him?
	19	A I asked him how he knew him.
1:59PM	20	Q Okay. And what was his response?
	21	A He knew him from the neighborhood.
	22	Q Okay. So you were aware working with
	23	Mr. Bongiovanni, that he grew up in the city of Buffalo?

And that sometimes people who grow up in the same

24

25

1:59PM

Yes, sir.

Α

	Case	1:1 9 cr 00227	
			129 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
1:59PM	1	town they	work in, they might know particular targets or
	2	sources in	n an investigation?
	3	А	Yes, sir.
	4	Q	I'm sure that you said you grew up in Buffalo?
1:59PM	5	А	I did, sir.
	6	Q	So, at any point in your career did you ever have a
	7	situation	where you might know someone you were
	8	investigat	ting?
	9	А	I don't think so.
2:00PM	10	Q	What about particular people that you were looking
	11	at. Did	you have a general awareness of who they were or
	12	where the	y might be?
	13	А	Yes, sir.
	14	Q	Okay. And that would be based on your experience
2:00PM	15	within th	is town?
	16	А	Yes, sir.
	17	Q	Okay. I think you worked up here the entire time
	18	of your ca	areer?
	19	А	With DEA, sir?
2:00PM	20	Q	Yes.
	21	А	Yes, sir.
	22	Q	I know you worked out in Reno, Nevada as a police
	23	officer be	efore you went to the DEA, correct?
	24	А	Reno, Nevada, yes, sir.
2:00PM	25	Q	So you didn't grow up there, right?

	Case	1:19 cr 00227	7 LJV MJR	Document 1485	Filed 04/13/25	Page 130 of 186
			Kaspı	rzyk - Cross -	Singer	130
2:00PM	1	А	In Reno?			
	2	Q	Correct.			
	3	А	Yes, no,	I grew up in	Buffalo.	
	4	Q	So fair	statement that	when you fir	st started out
2:00PM	5	there, yo	u had to	learn a little	bit about the	e town?
	6	А	Yes, sir			
	7	Q	And I'm	sure there wer	e officers who	o grew up in
	8	Reno who	worked on	the force the	re?	
	9	А	Yes.			
2:00PM	10	Q	They had	a little bit	of local know.	ledge that
	11	sometimes	you rely	on?		
	12	А	Yes, sir			
	13	Q	Okay. A	ll right. So	back in Noveml	ber of 2009,
	14	there was	no open	investigation	into Peter Ge	race being run
2:01PM	15	by the DE	A, correc	t?		
	16	А	Yes, cor	rect.		
	17	Q	There wa	s no open inve	stigation into	o Pharaoh's
	18	Gentlemen	's Club b	eing run by th	e DEA, correc	t?
	19	А	Correct.			
2:01PM	20	Q	But you	were aware, ba	sed on your c	oordination with
	21	other age	ncies, th	at the FBI had	an open inve	stigation into
	22	Peter Ger	ace, corr	ect?		
	23	А	After my	conversation	with Joe and	then GS
	24	Jansewicz	, I became	e aware of the	ir investigat	ion, yes.
2:01PM	25	Q	So you w	eren't aware o	f any particu	lar

		kaspizyk - Closs - Singer
2:01PM	1	investigation ongoing with the DEA sorry, with the FBI and
	2	Peter Gerace based on your coordination with Jim Jansewicz
	3	and others?
	4	A I, I, I'd have to think back to conversations that
2:01PM	5	I was having with Jim at the time. I talked to him pretty
	6	regularly about different cases that they had working. He
	7	may have mentioned to me during one of those conversations
	8	that they had something going on but I, I, I haven't talked
	9	to Jim about this in years and I don't recall specifically
2:01PM	10	but it may have come up in one of our prior conversations.
	11	Q So, you know, it sounds like, so, Jim was the SSA
	12	at the FBI; is that right?
	13	A You mean a group supervisor or supervising agent?
	14	Q I guess what I'm trying to figure out the acronyms
2:02PM	15	because I don't know how familiar the jury is.
	16	So, a supervisory special agent at the FBI, is that
	17	the equivalent of the group supervisor GS at the DEA?
	18	A Correct.
	19	Q And, so, as part of your duties as being a GS and
2:02PM	20	Mr. Jansewicz's duties as being an SSA would you sometimes
	21	meet up at that level of organization to talk about
	22	investigations that were ongoing?
	23	A We would talk, yes.
	24	Q Okay. And that was a way to help de-conflict
2:02PM	25	investigations?

So that's why you order Special Agent Bongiovanni

That's correct.

Yes, sir.

to make contact with the FBI, correct?

22

23

24

25

2:03PM

Α

Q

Α

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:03PM	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And you testified about how you weren't certain
	2	whether Mr. Bongiovanni did this when you ordered him to do
	3	it?
	4	A Correct.
2:03PM	5	Q And that's because you didn't have any
	6	conversations with him about any type of particular meeting
	7	that happened?
	8	A I trusted him to do it. I expected him to do it.
	9	I didn't have any followup conversations whether or not he
2:04PM	10	did it.
	11	Q That's why you can't really say one way or the
	12	other whether or not a meeting ever happened?
	13	A That's correct.
	14	Q Okay. So, with regard to Government Exhibit 30A.
2:04PM	15	MR. SINGER: Ms. Champoux, if we could bring down that
	16	one exhibit and put up 30A, please.
	17	Q So you testified a little bit about this document,
	18	how it relates to information that Mr. Bongiovanni related to
	19	you about Peter Gerace and the coordination with the FBI?
2:04PM	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And this was something that agents would do when a
	22	particular case came in, correct?
	23	A Yes, sir.
	24	Q And this is a document that you reviewed, correct?
2:04PM	25	A Yes, sir.

	Case	
		134 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
2:04PM	1	Q Because I think we went through it, that's your
	2	signature down at the bottom?
	3	A Correct.
	4	Q And at the time, again, you were his GS,
2:04PM	5	Mr. Bongiovanni's GS?
	6	A That's correct, sir.
	7	Q So your responsibility was to review his work
	8	product like we just talked about, right?
	9	A Yes, sir.
2:05PM	10	${f Q}$ And part of that process is you take a look through
	11	the form and if everything's all good to you, you sign it,
	12	correct?
	13	A Yes, sir.
	14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. So I want to talk a little bit more about
2:05PM	15	the form.
	16	MR. SINGER: So, Ms. Champoux, if you could zoom in on
	17	blocks three and four up at the top right.
	18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ So you talked a little bit about this on your
	19	direct testimony. So this is where a file number goes in
2:05PM	20	that the DEA assigns to a particular file?
	21	A That's correct.
	22	${f Q}$ In this case, the file number was C2-06-0120, is
	23	that right?
	24	A That's correct.
2:05PM	25	${f Q}$ So I just want to break down this number a little

And then ends September 30th of the next calendar

2:05PM

2:06PM

2:06PM

2:06PM

2:06PM

2:06PM

22

23

24

25

Α

Α

year?

Correct.

Correct.

			Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:06PM	1	Q	So when it's referring to an "06" fiscal year, that
	2	could be	a file that potentially's opened up in October of
	3	2005, rig	ht?
	4	А	Correct.
2:06PM	5	Q	All the way up until September 30th of 2006,
	6	correct?	
	7	А	Correct.
	8	Q	All right. And then as far as the next number that
	9	we're loc	king at here, the "0120", what does that refer to
2:07PM	10	(indicati	ng)?
	11	А	I believe that would be the number that reflects
	12	the next	number available in cases. So, that would have been
	13	the 120th	case file opened up that particular year.
	14	Q	Okay. So it's the 120th DEA case file opened up
2:07PM	15	within fi	scal year 2006, correct?
	16	А	Correct.
	17	Q	And then with regard to the G-DEP identifier, you
	18	mentioned	that this helps the DEA classify certain cases, is
	19	that righ	it?
2:07PM	20	А	That's right, sir.
	21	Q	And so this one particular letter, number, symbol
	22	right her	re, the "C1", you see that, that I circled?
	23	А	I do, sir.
	24	Q	What's that referring to?
2:07PM	25	А	That's a reference to cocaine.

	Case	1:19 cr 0022 7	
			137 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
2:07PM	1	Q	And "C1" is referring to powder cocaine, correct?
	2	А	I believe so, yes.
	3	Q	And this is another way the DEA keeps track of
	4	cases, co	rrect?
2:08PM	5	А	Correct.
	6	Q	And your understanding about this particular case
	7	involving	Peter Gerace and wanting to cooperate is that it
	8	involved	potential information about powder cocaine, correct?
	9	A	Correct.
2:08PM	10	Q	All right. So, with regard to this particular case
	11	we're tal	king about
	12	MR.	SINGER: If we zoom out again, Ms. Champoux.
	13	Q	There's a file title that's located below those two
	14	numbers w	e were just talking about. Do you see that there in
2:08PM	15	block six	?
	16	A	I do, sir.
	17	Q	And this is referring to a file title "Matthew
	18	Scalia"?	
	19	А	Correct.
2:08PM	20	Q	So "Matthew Scalia", what's the purpose of that
	21	identifie	r again there again?
	22	A	The file title name, sir?
	23	Q	Yes.
	24	А	That would be the primary target of the
2:08PM	25	investiga	tion.

2:08PM	1	${f Q}$ And when investigations in DEA are run, when the
	2	when that 120 number is assigned, Matthew Scalia is the
	3	person that the DEA is looking at as the primary target,
	4	correct?
2:09PM	5	A Yes, sir.
	6	Q But over time, it's not uncommon for other targets
	7	to develop as part of that investigation, correct?
	8	A Yes.
	9	${f Q}$ And if they have some type of relation to the
2:09PM	10	particular file that's being investigated, it's not
	11	inappropriate for someone to put a new name into a file
	12	that's not the file title name, correct?
	13	A If there's an organizational link to the primary
	14	target, yes, that would be common to do.
2:09PM	15	${f Q}$ So, I guess what I'm getting at so like if you
	16	had an investigation that opens up on a new target, you're
	17	not going to create an entirely new file on somebody,
	18	correct?
	19	A If there's a link to that original target, you can
2:09PM	20	put them in the same file, yes.
	21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And that link, that might reflect an organizational
	22	link to a particular person you're looking at, right?
	23	A That's right, sir.
	24	Q It might also indicate a particular geographic link
2:09PM	25	to a target you're looking at?

2:09PM	1	A It would generally have to be more than a
	2	geographical link. There'd have to be an organization link
	3	to the actual file title.
	4	Q It might also involve a particular drug, right?
2:10PM	5	A Involve the drug type, you mean?
	6	Q Correct.
	7	A No. There would have to be more than just a drug
	8	type link. You'd have to have a link. If you add a new
	9	target into a case file, an original case file, there needs
2:10PM	10	to be some sort of organizational link to that initial
	11	target.
	12	Q Okay. Now, with regards to the Matthew Scalia
	13	file, do you remember what this file was about?
	14	A I do not.
2:10PM	15	Q You don't have any specific recollection of it?
	16	A No, sir.
	17	Q You weren't able to review it before your testimony
	18	in court today?
	19	A The Scalia file?
2:10PM	20	Q Correct.
	21	A No, no, sir.
	22	Q It's just too long ago for you to tell the jury
	23	about what this file involved?
	24	A Correct.
2:10PM	25	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. So, with regard to the specific paragraph

2:10PM	1	MR. SINGER: If we can zoom in on that, Ms. Champoux.
	2	Q So this one particular paragraph, you mentioned on
	3	direct, indicates that Peter Gerace acted as a confidential
	4	source regarding information in the past, correct?
2:11PM	5	A Correct.
	6	Q So we talked a little bit about confidential
	7	sources. And I just want to clarify because throughout your
	8	testimony you're using the name "confidential source".
	9	You're also using the name "confidential informant". You're
2:11PM	10	also using "source of information". So I just want to break
	11	down and clarify what exactly you mean by that.
	12	So "confidential sources" back in 2013, what are
	13	you referring to when you say "confidential source" in your
	14	testimony?
2:11PM	15	A Typically when I'm talking about a "confidential
	16	source", that would be a documented confidential informant
	17	with the DEA.
	18	Q Okay. And you just used the word "confidential
	19	informant". When you say confidential informant, what are
2:11PM	20	you referring to? Is it the same as confidential source?
	21	A It could be, yes. Could be the same.
	22	Q Yeah. I guess that's what I'm trying to clarify.
	23	So you say it could be the same.
	24	What is the difference between a "confidential
2:12PM	25	source" and a "confidential informant"?

2:12PM	1	A Well, I would refer and when I would write a
	2	report, typically I would refer to documented informants as
	3	"confidential informants". Some agents would refer to them
	4	as "confidential sources". For the most part, it's one in
2:12PM	5	the same.
	6	Q Okay. So "confidential informants", as you say,
	7	are the same thing as "confidential sources", correct?
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q And when we refer to confidential sources, or
2:12PM	10	confidential informants as you say, there are certain
	11	protocols that the DEA requires an agent to follow before
	12	that person can be a confidential source, correct?
	13	A That's correct.
	14	Q So, for instance, you talked about how there needs
2:12PM	15	to be an agreement executed between the person who's going to
	16	be the confidential source and the DEA about their
	17	involvement in an investigation?
	18	A That's correct, sir.
	19	Q And that's something that's signed off by the
2:12PM	20	confidential source, right?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q The case agent, right?
	23	A Correct.
	24	${f Q}$ And the group supervisor responsible for the case
2:13PM	25	agent?

	Case	1:19 er 0022	7 I JV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 142 of 186	
		1.10 S. CC	Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer	
			raspizyk - Cioss - Singer	
2:13PM	1	А	Correct.	
	2	Q	And that's paperwork that's filed away inside the	
	3	DEA files	s, correct?	
	4	А	Correct.	
2:13PM	5	Q	And because of the safety concerns and	
	6	confident	ciality associated with a confidential source, after	
	7	a confide	ential source is signed up to act in that capacity	
	8	for the D	EA, they're assigned a confidential source number,	
	9	correct?		
2:13PM	10	А	Correct.	
	11	Q	And that CS number is something that is used on	
	12	official	documentation, right?	
	13	А	That's correct.	
	14	Q	So, moving forward if someone were to write any	
2:13PM	15	type of DEA-6 or any other type of paperwork associated with		
	16	that conf	idential source, they're going to use the number,	
	17	not the n	name, right?	
	18	А	Correct.	
	19	Q	And that's to maintain operational security for	
2:13PM	20	that part	cicular asset, right?	
	21	А	That's correct.	
	22	Q	And in this particular document here, you can see	
	23	how Peter	Gerace's is named by his full name, right?	
	24	А	Correct.	
2:14PM	25	Q	He doesn't have any type of confidential source	

2:14PM 1 | number associated with him, correct?

A Correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2.5

2:14PM

2:14PM

2:14PM

2:14PM

2:15PM

- **Q** And as GS, you're aware of the confidential sources that work for, or work with case agents assigned to your particular group, right?
 - A Correct.
- **Q** So you gain familiarity with about who those confidential sources are because you're in those meetings signing off on the confidential source agreement, right?
 - A Correct.
- **Q** And you're also aware of those confidential sources because as a GS, you maintain a log of who those people are working for the particular agents in your group?
 - A The GS doesn't maintain a log.
- Q So you don't have any type of log or visibility on who the particular confidential sources are working for your agents?
 - A There's a confidential source file room, file cabinet which is kept separately. It's not a log kept by the individual group supervisor.
 - **Q** Okay. But as the group supervisor, you have access to that one particular file room, correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q You can look in there to determine who the confidential sources are for the group, correct?

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer		
2:15PM	1	A Correct.		
	2	Q And, so, in this particular case, you testified		
	3	that you don't recall any time where Peter Gerace was		
	4	presented to you by Mr. Bongiovanni or anybody else as a		
2:15PM	5	confidential source with that agreement, correct?		
	6	A That's correct.		
	7	Q You don't recall any time where you signed off an		
	8	agreement for Peter Gerace to become any type of confidential		
	9	source, right?		
2:15PM	10	A That's correct.		
	11	Q And I don't know if you ever took a look, but		
	12	you're not aware of any documents in existence in DEA custody		
	13	that had Peter Gerace listed as a confidential source, right?		
	14	A I did not look but I'm not aware of any documents		
2:15PM	15	that would have him listed as a confidential source.		
	16	Q And there's no particular number that you're aware		
	17	of that Peter Gerace was assigned to be a confidential		
	18	source, right?		
	19	A Correct.		
2:15PM	20	Q But on top of all that, there's another different		
	21	avenue where agents get information from in this less		
	22	official capacity, right?		
	23	MR. TRIPI: Objection. Confusing.		
	24	MR. SINGER: Yeah, you know what. That was a bad		
2:16PM	25	question, Judge. Let me ask it again.		

	Case	1:19 cr 00227	LJV-MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 145 of 186
			145 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
2:16PM	1	Q	So agents don't always get information from
	2	confident	ial sources, right?
	3	А	That's correct.
	4	Q	Sometimes they use other people who are not
2:16PM	5	officiall	y signed up with the DEA, correct?
	6	А	Correct.
	7	Q	And those individuals are known as sources of
	8	informati	on, correct?
	9	А	Yes, sir.
2:16PM	10	Q	SOIs?
	11	А	Correct.
	12	Q	And you used SOIs in your capacity as special agent
	13	with DEA,	right?
	14	А	Yes, sir.
2:16PM	15	Q	You've had agents who work for you as a GS who use
	16	SOIs, cor	rect?
	17	А	Yes, sir.
	18	Q	And you've also had agents and GSs who work for you
	19	as the RA	C use SOIs, correct?
2:16PM	20	А	Correct.
	21	Q	That's not some weird law enforcement practice,
	22	right?	
	23	А	It is not.
	24	Q	It's something that's the normal course of business
2:16PM	25	within the	e DEA?

	Case	1:19 cr 0022 7	7 LJV MJR	Document 1485	Filed 04/13/25	Page 146 of 186
			Kasn	rzyk - Cross -	Singer	146
			παυρ	rzyk cross	Dinger	
2:16PM	1	A	Correct.			
	2	Q	So, sour	cce of informat	ion are diffe	erent because
	3		t require	the same type	of approval	process that we
	4	talked ab	_			
2:17PM	5	A	_	one of the dis	tinctions, ye	es.
	6	Q	They don	ı't require any	SOI to sign	an agreement
	7	with the	DEA, corr	rect?		
	8	А	Correct.			
	9	Q	And sour	ces of informa	tion are also	not provided a
2:17PM	10	particula	r number,	correct?		
	11	А	Correct.			
	12	Q	They're	referred to by	name inside	of paperwork,
	13	correct?				
	14	А	Correct.			
2:17PM	15	Q	And, so,	taking a look	at this one	particular form,
	16	you agree	with me	that Peter Ger	ace is identi	fied by name,
	17	right?				
	18	А	Correct.			
	19	Q	You agre	ee with me he's	not identifi	ed by any type
2:17PM	20	CD number	?			
	21	А	Right.			
	22	Q	You agre	e with me that	you don't ha	ve any type of
	23	recollect	ion of an	y CS agreement	s that you ap	pproved for Peter
	24	Gerace to	act as a	CS for the DE	A, correct?	
2:17PM	25	А	That's c	correct.		

	Case	1:19-cr-00227-LJV-MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 147 of 186
		147 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
		Raspizyk Closs Singer
2:17PM	1	Q This one particular document talks about
	2	information that Peter Gerace provided in the past, correct?
	3	A Correct.
	4	Q Now, with regard to information I know it's been
2:17PM	5	a long time and you may not have a specific recollection
	6	but do you know what type of information Peter Gerace
	7	provided as far as this investigation or other DEA
	8	investigations in the past?
	9	A I do not.
2:18PM	10	Q Do you know
	11	A I'm unaware of that information.
	12	Q Do you know when Peter Gerace may have provided
	13	this information as a source of information?
	14	MR. TRIPI: Object as to "may have provided".
2:18PM	15	Speculative.
	16	THE COURT: No. Overruled.
	17	A I'm not aware of Mr. Gerace providing any
	18	information to the DEA on drug trafficking activities. That
	19	was not anything that I ever was made aware of as a group
2:18PM	20	supervisor.
	21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And you were GS at the time, right?
	22	A Yes, sir.
	23	Q Now you said that you were responsible for about a
	24	dozen or so personnel during that time period?
2:18PM	25	A Yes, sir, that's correct.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

		Raspizyk Closs Singer
2:18PM	1	Q And you testified on direct, you know, that it's
	2	just not practical for you to get into the weeds of every
	3	case, right?
	4	A Correct.
2:18PM	5	Q So outside the norm of certain details in a case
	6	may not you may not become aware of those during your
	7	course of time as a GS?
	8	A It is possible that an agent would have had a
	9	conversation with someone and not shared that information
2:19PM	10	with me. It is possible.
	11	Q And you might not have awareness because of that
	12	lack of conversation, right?
	13	A Correct.
	14	Q Doesn't mean that it didn't happen, it just means
2:19PM	15	that you're not aware?
	16	MR. TRIPI: Objection. Speculative.
	17	THE COURT: Overruled.
	18	A Question again, sir?
	19	Q It didn't mean that it didn't happen, it just means
2:19PM	20	that you're not aware?
	21	A Yes, sir.
	22	Q Okay. So, in this particular part here, you'd
	23	agree with me that if Peter Gerace was a official
	24	confidential source, his name wouldn't appear in this
2:19PM	25	paragraph, right?

	Case	1:19 cr 00227	LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 149 of 186
			149 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:19PM	1	А	Correct.
	2	Q	And a number would appear in that paragraph?
	3	А	Correct.
	4	Q	There'd be an agreement?
2:19PM	5	А	Yes, sir, there'd be an agreement.
	6	Q	So, when you got presented this one particular
	7	DEA-6 for	approval as the group supervisor, why didn't you
	8	make a coi	rrection about what's obvious here: Mr. Gerace is
	9	not a conf	fidential source?
2:19PM	10	А	I can't explain why I didn't make the correction.
	11	Q	I think you said earlier on direct, like, hey,
	12	sometimes	I make mistakes?
	13	А	Yeah.
	14	Q	Do you think that's a mistake?
2:20PM	15	А	It's a mistake by the agent to incorrectly list him
	16	as a confi	idential source.
	17	Q	Okay. But it's also a mistake by the supervisor to
	18	not catch	that, right?
	19	А	Yes.
2:20PM	20	Q	Hindsight being 20/20, if you had to do it all over
	21	again, you	u would have asked Mr. Bongiovanni to correct that,
	22	right?	
	23	А	Yes, to "change confidential source" to "source of
	24	informatio	on".
2:20PM	25	MR. S	SINGER: Okay. Now if we could move off of that

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:20PM	1	paragraph, Ms. Champoux, and if we could turn to Page 3 of
	2	the document and zoom in on the NADDIS indexing section.
	3	${f Q}$ So you mentioned that NADDIS is a database
	4	maintained by the DEA; is that right, sir?
2:20PM	5	A That's right, sir.
	6	Q And NADDIS is a database where names of people who
	7	are either targets or have information the DEA processes are
	8	placed; is that right?
	9	A Correct.
2:21PM	10	${f Q}$ And the purpose of NADDIS is so that if a name
	11	comes up in one investigation, an agent investigating that
	12	case can find out if they have ever been investigated by the
	13	DEA previously?
	14	A That's correct.
2:21PM	15	Q And as far as NADDIS was concerned back then in
	16	2009, are we talking about something that's computerized or
	17	are we talking about something that's on paper?
	18	A It's computerized.
	19	Q Okay. So it was computerized back then. When did
2:21PM	20	NADDIS get computerized, to your understanding?
	21	A Sir, I don't I don't know. It has been
	22	computerized ever since I joined DEA in 1989.
	23	Q Okay.
	24	A So, if it, it I don't know when it started but
2:21PM	25	it was throughout my career NADDIS was a computerized

	Case	1:19 er 00227 LJV MJR — Document 1485 — Filed 04/13/25 — Page 151 of 186 — —
		151 Kasprzyk – Cross – Singer
2:21PM	1	program.
	2	Q Okay. So it was computerized ever since you began
	3	your career?
	4	A Yes.
2:21PM	5	Q Okay. So, as far as the information here,
	6	Mr. Gerace's name appears, is that right?
	7	A Yes, sir.
	8	Q And it indicates "NADDIS number pending"; is that
	9	right?
2:22PM	10	A Correct.
	11	Q And that's indicating that there may be the need to
	12	assign Mr. Gerace a NADDIS number; is that right?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Okay. And when you reviewed this form back in
2:22PM	15	2009, did you have any particular problem about the fact that
	16	a NADDIS number is still pending for Mr. Gerace?
	17	A The expectation when the author of the report does
	18	the report and they index a particular individual, they would
	19	run NADDIS on that individual, see if they were in NADDIS.
2:22PM	20	If they weren't in NADDIS, they would if they were in
	21	NADDIS, they would reflect the NADDIS number of that person.
	22	If they weren't in NADDIS, then they would put NADDIS number
	23	pending.
	24	Q And that's something you've seen submitted on
2:22PM	25	reports by Mr. Bongiovanni and others, right?

	Case	1:19 cr 0022	3
			152 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:22PM	1	А	Correct.
	2	Q	And as far as the NADDIS number pending, eventually
	3	if one go	ot assigned, that would be appended to the report?
	4	А	Correct.
2:22PM	5	Q	That's something that's done by support staff,
	6	right?	
	7	А	Or by the agent when he completes, you know, a
	8	second re	eport, a followup report, they would include the
	9	active NA	ADDIS number then on future investigations.
2:23PM	10	Q	And there's a timeline in which agents must submit
	11	reports,	correct?
	12	А	Yes, sir.
	13	Q	It's five days after the investigative action takes
	14	place?	
2:23PM	15	А	Yes, sir.
	16	Q	So in this particular case, you have a conversation
	17	with Mr.	Bongiovanni about Peter Gerace potentially
	18	cooperati	ing on November 2nd?
	19	А	Yes, sir.
2:23PM	20	Q	And then he forwards this report up to you on the
	21	6th?	
	22	А	Correct.
	23	Q	And that's a short timeframe, correct?
	24	А	Yes, sir.
2:23PM	25	Q	Sometimes other things are going on in the office

	Case	1:19 er 00227	LJV MJR	Document 1485	Filed 04/13/25	Page 153 of 186
			Kasprz	zyk - Cross -	Singer	153
2:23PM	1	at that t	ime?			
	2	А	Correct.			
	3	Q	And so so	metimes that	might cause a	NADDIS number
	4	to remain	pending,	correct?		
2:23PM	5	A	Yes, sir.			
	6	MR.	SINGER: O	kay. So, Ms.	Champoux, if	you could take
	7	that down				
	8	Q	So back is	n November of	2009, you di:	rected
	9	Mr. Bongi	ovanni to	contact FBI,	correct?	
2:24PM	10	А	Yes, sir.			
	11	Q	And that	was based on	your conversa	tion you had
	12	with SSA	Jansewicz,	your counter	part at FBI?	
	13	A	Yes, sir.			
	14	Q	And it wa	s because you	r understandi	ng was that FBI
2:24PM	15	had an op	en case on	both Peter G	erace and Pha	raoh's
	16	Gentlemen	's Club at	the time?		
	17	A	Yes, sir.			
	18	Q	And the Di	EA didn't, co	rrect?	
	19	A	Correct.			
2:24PM	20	Q	And that	was one of th	e reasons why	you didn't
	21	decide to	open up a	DEA file on	Mr. Gerace and	d Pharaoh's at
	22	that time	, correct?			
	23	A	Correct.			
	24	Q	Because ti	here's no use	in duplication	ng effort,
2:24PM	25	right?				

	Case	1:19 cr 0022	7-LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 154 of 186
			154 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:24PM	1	А	That's correct, sir.
	2	Q	So you testified earlier that DEA may have had
	3	interest	with regard to Peter Gerace or Pharaoh's if the FBI
	4	didn't ha	ve a case, right?
2:24PM	5	А	That's correct.
	6	Q	And that was based on the type of drug that was
	7	being dis	scussed inside of your conversations with
	8	Mr. Bongi	ovanni?
	9	А	Yes, sir.
2:24PM	10	Q	But ultimately you declined to open up any type of
	11	case beca	use of what FBI was doing, right?
	12	А	That's correct.
	13	Q	And besides having an open case you talked about
	14	it a litt	cle bit earlier FBI has similar jurisdiction to
2:25PM	15	the DEA i	n investigating drug crimes, correct?
	16	А	Yes, sir.
	17	Q	One of the roles is the Safe Streets Task Force is
	18	to invest	igate drug crimes?
	19	A	That's correct.
2:25PM	20	Q	They also investigate cocaine cases, correct?
	21	А	Yes, sir.
	22	Q	And that's why they had an interest in
	23	investiga	ting this particular case, right, to your
	24	understar	nding?
2:25PM	25	MR.	TRIPI: Objection as to FBI's mindset, your Honor.

Kasprzyk	_	Cross	_	Singer

		kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:25PM	1	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
	2	Q But that's at least why you wanted to leave the
	3	case at the FBI, correct?
	4	A I asked Joe Bongiovanni to call the FBI because the
2:25PM	5	FBI had an existing case. They, they were actively
	6	investigating Gerace and Pharaoh's and it was appropriate for
	7	Joe to call the FBI and to work, to develop any
	8	information that he could develop, he needed to give to them
	9	so that they could work together.
2:26PM	10	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Because you wanted to make sure the FBI had
	11	everything in their power to do to investigate any
	12	potential crimes, correct?
	13	A Because we work together.
	14	Q Correct.
2:26PM	15	A Yes.
	16	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And at that point in time, it was, you know, more
	17	or less their case as opposed to the DEA's case, right?
	18	A Correct.
	19	${f Q}$ Now, as far as the Safe Streets Task Force and
2:26PM	20	FBI's concerned, you don't control any type of investigative
	21	decisions that the FBI makes, correct?
	22	A I do not.
	23	Q And as far as the FBI was concerned, after you read
	24	through this one particular DEA-6, you didn't receive any
2:26PM	25	type of phone calls from the FBI about Gerace, correct?

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

		Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:26PM	1	A I did not.
	2	Q You didn't receive any phone calls from Jim
	3	Jansewicz about Pharaoh's Gentlemen's Club, correct?
	4	A I did not.
2:26PM	5	Q You didn't receive any type of information that
	6	cooperation regarding Peter Gerace didn't work out, right?
	7	A No.
	8	Q You're not aware of any type of reasons why
	9	Mr. Gerace may or may not have failed to cooperate?
2:27PM	10	MR. TRIPI: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.
	11	Prior answer was no and then he built it into the next
	12	question.
	13	THE COURT: No. I don't think so. Overruled.
	14	A Question again, sir.
2:27PM	15	MR. SINGER: Can you read that back, Diane.
	16	THE REPORTER: "You're not aware of any type of reasons
	17	why Mr. Gerace may or may not have failed to cooperate?"
	18	A I'm not familiar with why he did or did not
	19	cooperate with the FBI.
2:27PM	20	Q And you mentioned that if you're aware that
	21	Mr. Gerace was not cooperating or FBI wasn't moving forward
	22	with their case, this was a case that you may have opened up
	23	an investigation into regarding Peter Gerace, right?
	24	A So I understand your question?
2:27PM	25	Q Certainly.

Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer

2:27PM	1	A If the FBI did not have an open case, would we at
	2	the DEA have opened a case?
	3	${f Q}$ So if the DEA let me rephrase that.
	4	If the FBI wasn't going to move forward on a case
2:28PM	5	against Gerace, would the DEA have investigated him?
	6	A Potentially, yes.
	7	Q And, you know, that was based on the particular
	8	drug that was being alleged, is that right?
	9	A It would have been based upon the information that
2:28PM	10	Mr. Gerace was offering to provide but also contingent upon
	11	our ability to sign him up as a documented informant.
	12	${f Q}$ And this was not some situation where Mr. Gerace
	13	was alleging, hey, I know someone who sells an eight ball
	14	down the street on the corner, correct?
2:28PM	15	MR. TRIPI: Objection. Exhibit 30A is the evidence of
	16	what was transmitted to this witness, so personal knowledge,
	17	your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: No. Overruled.
	19	Q You want me to repeat that question?

A I think I understand it.

Q Sure. Go ahead.

2:28PM

2:29PM

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The information provided by Gerace through Agent Bongiovanni was that Gerace knew about kilogram quantity or kilogram traffickers and that would be of interest to the DEA.

2:29PM 15

2:29PM

2:29PM

2:29PM

Τ

2:29PM

21

22

25

24

2:30PM

23 very much.

Α

THE COURT: Any redirect?

Yes, sir.

MR. TRIPI: I do have redirect, your Honor.

MR. SINGER: That's all I have, your Honor. Thank you

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 159 of 186
		159 Kasprzyk - Cross - Singer
2:30PM	1	THE COURT: I think a juror needs a break so we'll take
	2	a break.
	3	Remember my instructions about not communicating about
	4	the case with anyone, including each other, and don't make up
2:30PM	5	your mind.
	6	See you back here in about ten minutes.
	7	(WHEREUPON, jury excused.)
	8	THE COURT: Anything for the record before we break,
	9	Mr. Tripi?
2:31PM	10	MR. TRIPI: No, your Honor. Thank you for asking.
	11	THE COURT: Mr. Singer?
	12	MR. SINGER: No, I don't have anything.
	13	THE COURT: Great. Thank you.
	14	(WHEREUPON, recess taken.)
2:31PM	15	(Open court, defendant present.)
	16	THE CLERK: We are back on the record for the
	17	continuation of the jury trial in case number 19-CR-227,
	18	United States of America v. Joseph Bongiovanni.
	19	All counsel and parties are present.
2:45PM	20	THE COURT: Anything before we bring the jury back?
	21	MR. TRIPI: No, Judge.
	22	THE COURT: Mr. Singer?
	23	MR. SINGER: No, your Honor.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring them back, please.
2:45PM	25	(WHEREUPON, jury present.)

Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi

2:46PM	1	THE COURT: The record will reflect that all our jurors
	2	again are present.
	3	I remind the witness that he's still under oath.
	4	And, Mr. Tripi, you may begin your redirect.
2:46PM	5	MR. TRIPI: Thank you very much, your Honor.
	6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TRIPI:
	7	Q I'd just like to follow up on a couple of questions
	8	Mr. Singer asked you, okay?
	9	A Yes, sir.
2:46PM	10	Q Part of his questions he was asking you about as a
	11	supervisor your deployment of personnel and resources. Do
	12	you recall that line of questions?

A Yes, sir.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2:47PM

2:47PM

2:47PM

Q As it relates to the matters that you're testifying about today, the 2009 case and the 2013 case that we showed you exhibits, did this defendant ever complain to you that you did not provide him, as a supervisor, sufficient personnel to support his investigations?

A No, sir.

Q Did this defendant ever complain to you that you failed to fund or approve funding for the defendant's cases?

A No, sir.

Q In terms of personnel management, you were asked some questions about that if an enforcement operation takes three hours, for example, what do agents do after the

Case	1:19 cr 002:	27 LJV MJR Decument 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 161 of 186
		161 Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
1	enforcem	ment operation ends?
2	А	They'll return to the office and resume work on
3	whatever	other cases they may have pending.
4	Q	And do agents work eight-hour days?
5	А	Typically not.
6	Q	What do they work typically?
7	А	Usually it's eight to ten hours per day and often
8	in the e	evening and on weekends.
9	Q	Holidays, as well?
10	А	Holidays, as well.
11	Q	So, if the DEA needs to authorize funding for an
12	enforcem	ment action, and there's four to five days in between,
13	even if	it's a weekend, is that, is that a stumbling are
14	weekends	s a stumbling block for the DEA?
15	А	No, sir.
16	Q	Okay. Do drug dealers deal drugs on weekends?
17	А	Yes, sir, they do.
18	Q	Do drug dealers deal drugs on holidays?
19	А	Yes, sir, they do.
20	Q	Do DEA agents and their supervisors work in kind?
21	А	Yes, sir.

You were asked a little bit about certain types of

While you supervised and worked at the DEA Buffalo

drugs that the DEA investigates, do you remember that?

I do, sir.

Α

2:47PM

2:48PM

2:48PM

2:48PM

2:48PM

2:49PM

22

23

24

25

MR. TRIPI: If we could zoom out of that particular box,

cocaine being one of the drugs involved in that operation,

And in the second sentence, is there a reference to

2:49PM

2:49PM

2:49PM

2:50PM

2:50PM

2:50PM

22

23

24

25

please.

Q

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Decument 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 163 of 186
		163 Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
		Maspizyk Nedilect Ilipi
2:51PM	1	that operation plan?
2.01111	2	A Okay. The second sentence of the first paragraph?
	3	
		Q Sorry about that, yeah.
	4	A Yes, there is, sir.
2:51PM	5	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And does that paragraph indicate S. is a
	6	trusted associate of the Ron Serio DTO?
	7	A Yes, it does.
	8	Q Between roughly 2002 and 2013, do you know how many
	9	marijuana cases that resulted in press releases were handled
2:51PM	10	by the DEA and the U.S. Attorney's Office, do you know that
	11	number?
	12	A I do not, sir.
	13	Q I'm going to show you something to refresh your
	14	recollection.
2:52PM	15	MR. TRIPI: Judge, can we approach?
	16	THE COURT: Sure.
	17	(Held at side bar:)
	18	MR. SINGER: A couple issues. One is that all of these
	19	particular press releases
2:53PM	20	(Court reporter interrupted for clarification.)
	21	MR. SINGER: So the document is a listing of press
	22	releases from the U.S. Attorney's Office and some of them
	23	postdate this witnesses's retirement so he wouldn't have any

THE COURT: He hasn't even indicated that he has any

24

25

2:53PM

knowledge of those.

Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi

2:53PM 1 recollection he needs refreshing. He says he doesn't know. 2 He didn't say he doesn't remember so we don't even know. 3 MR. TRIPI: I'm going there so I wanted to get the exhibit over to Mr. Singer so if I have to refresh his 4 2:53PM 5 recollection, I will. But these are all press releases from 6 the time period in which he worked there. THE COURT: Well, Mr. Singer is saying some of them are 7 not. 8 9 MR. COOPER: Some of the releases themselves are dated 2:53PM 10 after he retired but the investigations to which they refer 11 are investigations into conduct that occurred while he was 12 the supervisor, as the Court knows. 13 THE COURT: In first instance he has to indicate that he needs his recollection refreshed. 14 2:54PM 15 Second of all, the question is between roughly 2002 and 16 '13 do you know how many more listings that resulted in press 17 releases were handled by the DEA and U.S. Attorney's office. 18 So the fact that the release may have occurred after he 19 left doesn't change that but somebody would have to know that 2:54PM 20 a release was issued after he left the office in order to 2.1 know the number. 22 MR. SINGER: Yeah, I mean. 23 THE COURT: You can voir dire on this, too, but there's 24 no foundation. So the objection's sustained.

2:55PM

25

(Open court:)

Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi

2:55PM	1	Q Do you know how many large scale marijuana cases
	2	the DEA handled with the U.S. Attorney's Office from 2009 to
	3	2013?
	4	A I do not recall a specific number.
2:55PM	5	Q Was there more than one?
	6	A There were several, yes.
	7	Q I'm going to hand you something to see if it could
	8	refresh your recollection to give us an estimate, okay?
	9	A Thank you.
2:55PM	10	Q Handing up Exhibit 554, Government Exhibit 554.
	11	MR. SINGER: Judge while the witness is reviewing, do
	12	you mind if we side bar.
	13	(Held at side bar:)
	14	MR. SINGER: So beyond whether he's able to refresh his
2:58PM	15	recollection, the other problem, Judge, is that this is like
	16	a hundred page exhibit that I just got handed 30 seconds ago.
	17	And if I'm going to get into crossing him on this, I'm going

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2:58PM

2:58PM

And if I'm going to get into crossing him on this, I'm going to have to get a continuance so I can go through page by page.

THE COURT: No, I don't think so. I don't think so. This is simply to refresh his recollection.

The question Mr. Tripi just asked is a very different question than he asked before. So the question about how many press releases were issued, I think, is a problematic question because I just don't think he's got to know how many

Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi

2:58PM	1	press releases were issued.
	2	On the other hand, this may well refresh his
	3	recollection on how many cases were large scale and I want to
	4	make sure that he understands what the question is, what he's
2:58PM	5	answering. But, you know, you could provide the witness with
	6	anything to refresh. You know this, Mr. Singer.
	7	MR. SINGER: I get that but at the same time, too, if I
	8	want to cross him on this point, I'm going to have to go
	9	through every single one of these press releases. What I
2:59PM	10	noticed first off, some of the cases don't even originate out
	11	of the Western District of New York. His office doesn't work
	12	in Rochester. So he's familiar
	13	THE COURT: If he gives an exact number. But we don't
	14	know what he's going to say. If he says, yeah, we're more
2:59PM	15	than ten or something like that, then I just don't see what
	16	the problem is. If you want to delay your cross until
	17	tomorrow, we break and we'll delay your cross until tomorrow,
	18	that's fine.

MR. TRIPI: To be clear, I'm not getting into any facts.

THE COURT: No, we're not getting any facts.

MR. TRIPI: I just wanted him to know if he thought I was trying to do that.

(Open court:)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2:59PM

2:59PM

THE COURT: So the question, sir, is: Does that refresh your recollection about how many large scale marijuana

		Kaspizyk - Redifect - Ilipi
2:59PM	1	investigations the DEA and the U.S. Attorney's Office in the
	2	Western District of New York were involved in from 2009 to
	3	2013? Does it refresh your recollection is the first
	4	question.
3:00PM	5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
	6	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Tripi.
	7	Q Can you provide an estimate?
	8	A Well, based on my reading of these
	9	THE COURT: No, no, not based on your reading.
3:00PM	10	Q Your
	11	THE COURT: Based on your recollection. We don't
	12	want anybody can read that and count numbers, okay.
	13	That's not what we want.
	14	The question is: Does it refresh your recollection?
3:00PM	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
	16	THE COURT: Okay. Now go ahead, Mr. Tripi.
	17	MR. TRIPI: I'm going to remove the exhibit.
	18	THE COURT: Yes.
	19	Q Again, I'm only asking you for an estimate. Did
3:00PM	20	that refresh your recollection to the point where you could
	21	give us an estimate of how many marijuana cases that were
	22	large-scale were worked by the DEA up until 2013 when you
	23	left from early 2000s on?
	24	THE COURT: In the Western District.
3:00PM	25	MR. TRIPI: In the Western District of New York, of

Α I do, sir.

3:01PM

3:01PM

3:01PM

3:01PM

3:01PM

3:02PM

2.1

22

23

24

2.5

Q Okay. Looking at Page 5 of this exhibit, the signature line, when the case agent is signing a document as in this instance, is the agent verifying the information above the signature line?

MR. SINGER: Objection. Outside the scope.

		Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
3:02PM	1	Q The accuracy of the information?
	2	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	3	MR. SINGER: Outside the scope.
	4	THE COURT: No. Overruled.
3:02PM	5	A Yes, he is, sir.
	6	Q So, in this instance not sometimes but in this
	7	instance the defendant was verifying that this personnel
	8	was available for an operation May 6th, 2013; is that
	9	correct?
3:02PM	10	A That's correct, sir.
	11	Q By 2009 we're switching now to the other
	12	exhibit but by 2009, and certainly by 2013, would you have
	13	considered the defendant an experienced agent?
	14	A Yes, sir, I would.
3:03PM	15	Q Is your knowledge of Exhibit 8A-7 limited to
	16	whatever accuracy and truthfulness the defendant wrote in the
	17	document?
	18	A Yes, sir.
	19	Q Can we put up Exhibit 30A, please.
3:03PM	20	Regarding Exhibit 30A, is your awareness of the
	21	information regarding Peter Gerace in this document limited
	22	to the accuracy of what the defendant wrote in the report
	23	regarding what Gerace told him?
	24	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
3:03PM	25	${f Q}$ You were asked some questions about the fact that

And how does that impact the DEA's ability --

impact the DEA's ability to effectively enforce operations in

slowing down the review process of cases, how does that

3:03PM

3:03PM

3:04PM

3:04PM

3:04PM

3:04PM

22

23

24

25

the street?

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 171 of 186
		171 Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
1		πασριζήν ποσιτόσο τιτρι
3:04PM	1	MR. SINGER: Objection. Relevance.
	2	THE COURT: Overruled.
l	3	A It would impact our ability to work efficiently and
ı	4	to work quickly and to be agile when it comes to conducting
3:05PM	5	drug investigations.
	6	Q Regarding this exhibit, Mr. Singer asked you a line
	7	of questions on cross about knowing people from the
	8	neighborhood. I think he even referenced back to your time
	9	in Reno; do you recall that?
3:05PM	10	A I do, sir.
	11	Q Did the defendant ever tell you regarding Peter
	12	Gerace that he went out to dinners with him?
	13	A No, sir, he did not.
	14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did he ever tell you he went on double dates with
3:05PM	15	him.
	16	A No, sir, he did not.
	17	Q Did he ever tell you they communicated on the phone
	18	frequently?
	19	A No, sir, he did not.
3:05PM	20	Q Did he ever tell you at any point in time in your
	21	tenure at DEA up to the point that you retired, that they
	22	went on vacation together?
	23	A No, sir, he did not.

Would you have permitted the defendant to handle

any aspect of any case involving Gerace if you knew any of

24

25

3:05PM

Q

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 172 of 186
		172 Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
		Raspizyk Redifect filpi
3:05PM	1	that?
	2	A No.
	3	Q Whether a source of information, a confidential
	4	source or a target, would you allow him anywhere near that
3:06PM	5	case?
	6	A No, sir, I would not.
	7	Q Does DEA have professional conduct standards for
	8	agents associating and fraternizing with known convicted
	9	felons?
3:06PM	10	A Yes, sir, it does.
	11	Q What is that policy?
	12	A It's prohibited.
	13	Q Earlier when you were explaining case priorities,
	14	you mentioned sometimes it depends upon who the target was or
3:06PM	15	what they're connected to. I might have misstated it a
	16	little bit but do you remember that line of cross?
	17	A Yes, sir, I do.
	18	${f Q}$ Did the defendant ever tell you that Gerace was the
	19	grandson of Joseph Todaro, Sr.?
3:06PM	20	A No, sir, he did not.
	21	Q Were you familiar with that name through your
	22	career in law enforcement in Buffalo?
	23	A Yes, sir, I was.
	24	Q What was the reputation of that person?
3:07PM	25	MR. SINGER: Objection.

3:07PM	1	THE COURT: Sustained.
	2	${f Q}$ Did that person have a reputation in the law
	3	enforcement community that overlapped your 25 years as a DEA
	4	agent in Buffalo?
3:07PM	5	MR. SINGER: Objection.
	6	MR. TRIPI: We briefed this in a pretrial.
	7	THE COURT: Yeah. Overruled.
	8	MR. SINGER: Judge, can we approach on this again.
	9	THE COURT: Sure.
3:07PM	10	(Held at side bar:)
	11	MR. SINGER: The purpose of the IOC evidence you ruled
	12	was to allow it in based on beliefs that my client may have
	13	held.
	14	THE COURT: Right.
3:07PM	15	MR. SINGER: An affinity, whatever someone's reputation
	16	in a law enforcement community does not have any bearing on
	17	that because, again, what has to be shown is nexus that this
	18	witness
	19	THE COURT: I don't think so.
3:07PM	20	MR. SINGER: Nexus on top of
	21	THE COURT: It can be an inference. So his reputation
	22	in law enforcement is he's involved in Italian Organized
	23	Crime. I think an inference can be drawn that Bongiovanni
	24	shared that knowledge that that was his reputation.
3:08PM	25	MR. TRIPI: I'll add, Judge, when he's interviewed in

Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi

June of 2019, he specifically says that Gerace is the grandson of Todaro, Sr., so there will be later evidence further even linking that up. Just --

3:08PM

3:08PM

3:08PM

3:09PM

3:09PM

MR. SINGER: But the problem is, Judge, that evidence discusses what my client understood and may have believed from his personal perspective.

(Court reporter interrupted for clarification.)

THE COURT: He's not talking about anything what Joseph Bongiovanni may have thought or believed. He's talking about what this witness believed.

MR. SINGER: That's getting into the problem.

THE COURT: It's not talking about what this witness believes. It's talking about what his reputation was in the law enforcement community, a community that both Bongiovanni and this witness were part of. So I think it's fair game and an inference can be drawn from that that Bongiovanni shared knowledge of that reputation. Now, whether it's enough for the jury to believe that Bongiovanni believed that, that's another question.

MR. SINGER: But, again, it's putting a stamp of approval on law enforcement by we believe this exists and we don't have the opportunity or evidence to rebut that because we're precluded from getting into the underlying facts itself. So that's the problem, Judge. I understand when you disagree with the Court's ruling in regard to what our client

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR — Document 1485 — Filed 04/13/25 — Page 175 of 186 — —
		175 Kasprzyk – Redirect – Tripi
3:09PM	1	thought but that the Court's ruling
	2	THE COURT: I'm going to allow
	3	MR. SINGER: getting into
	4	THE COURT: I'm going to allow evidence of reputation in
3:09PM	5	the law enforcement. If it was what the newspapers reported,
	6	no. But reputation in the law enforcement community, yes.
	7	That's as far as it's going but, yes.
	8	MR. SINGER: Thank you.
	9	(Open court:)
3:10PM	10	MR. TRIPI: May I please have the question read back
	11	just so I can have it accurately.
	12	THE COURT: Sure.
	13	THE REPORTER: "Did that person have a reputation in the
	14	law enforcement community that overlapped your 25 years as a
3:10PM	15	DEA agent in Buffalo"?
	16	Q Your answer to that is?
	17	A Yes, sir.
	18	Q And what was the reputation of Joseph Todaro, Sr.
	19	in the law enforcement community?
3:10PM	20	A That he was involved with organized crime in the
	21	Western New York area.
	22	Q Had you known that information regarding the
	23	relationship between Gerace as referenced in this report and
	24	Todaro, Sr., could that have impacted the DEA's assessment of
3:10PM	25	how much investigative effort for you to follow up with in

	Case	1:19 er 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 176 of 186
		176 Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
3:10PM	1	this case?
	2	A Yes, sir, it would have.
	3	MR. TRIPI: One moment, please, your Honor.
	4	(WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)
3:11PM	5	MR. TRIPI: One or two last questions, your Honor.
	6	I think one of the last things I would like to go to
	7	Page 2 of Exhibit 30A.
	8	Q Mr. Singer asked you about the indexing section
	9	NADDIS pending; do you see that?
3:11PM	10	A Yes, sir, I see that.
	11	Q And earlier you described that that meant that
	12	there was not a NADDIS number, in sum and substance; is that
	13	right?
	14	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
3:11PM	15	Q I'm going to hand you Government Exhibit 437. And
	16	you're familiar with NADDIS?
	17	A Yes, sir, I am.
	18	Q You're familiar with NADDIS, how it's stored in the
	19	database and how it's printed out?
3:12PM	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 437?
	22	A Yes, this is a NADDIS printout of a individual.
	23	${f Q}$ Do you recognize that as a DEA NADDIS printout of a
	24	specific individual?
3:12PM	25	A Yes, sir.

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25 Page 177 of 186
		177 Kasprzyk – Redirect – Tripi
3:12PM	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Is that made in the ordinary course of DEA
	2	business, the NADDIS printouts?
	3	A Yes, sir.
	4	Q Regular course of business to make and keep that
3:12PM	5	record?
	6	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
	7	Q And I think earlier you indicated NADDIS has been
	8	computerized since you've been an agent?
	9	A Correct.
3:12PM	10	${f Q}$ So are agents under the obligation is the DEA
	11	under an obligation to keep those reports accurate?
	12	A Yes, sir, they are.
	13	MR. TRIPI: Government offers Exhibit 437, your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: 437, your Honor.
3:12PM	15	MR. SINGER: Can I have just one moment, Judge.
	16	THE COURT: Sure.
	17	(WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)
	18	MR. TRIPI: Did you want to see it?
	19	THE COURT: Yeah, let me take a look.
3:13PM	20	MR. SINGER: Judge, we have an objection on hearsay and
	21	authenticity grounds. There's other information in the
	22	document that is also hearsay.
	23	THE COURT: So you're objecting because there's hearsay
	24	within hearsay?
3:14PM	25	MR. SINGER: Hearsay within hearsay but also on the

	Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
3:14PM 1	authentication aspect, Judge.
2	THE COURT: Because I don't think the witness has
3	testified he actually entered this information.
4	MR. TRIPI: It's not a requirement of a business record,
3:14PM 5	personal entry.
6	MR. SINGER: It may not be a requirement of a business
7	record.
8	THE COURT: If we're going to argue, come on up.
9	(Held at side bar:)
3:14PM 10	MR. SINGER: So there's the authenticity aspect, Judge.
11	I don't think the witness can authenticate the documents.
12	THE COURT: Why can't he authenticate? He worked at
13	DEA, he's familiar with what NADDIS reports look like, he
14	sees this.
3:14PM 15	How was this generated, Mr. Tripi?
16	MR. TRIPI: It's from the DEA system. It's Francis
17	Dicarlo provided it. He's the records custodian.
18	THE COURT: Does this guy know that?
19	MR. TRIPI: He just authenticated that it's a NADDIS
3:15PM 20	report from the NADDIS system. I just asked him if he's
21	dealt with those reports and how they look when they're
22	printed up from the system.
23	MR. SINGER: He can't authenticate the records. He
24	can't this looks like a NADDIS report.
3:15PM 25	THE COURT: There's a lot of ways that you can

		Raspizyk - Redifect - Ifipi
3:15PM	1	authenticate something. I mean, is Dicarlo going to testify?
	2	MR. TRIPI: Of course. Dicarlo is going to testify
	3	later. But at the same time, this NADDIS report ties
	4	directly in. We could offer it subject to questioning if you
3:15PM	5	want.
	6	THE COURT: Yeah, authentication.
	7	MR. TRIPI: Judge, I do think if I may I do think
	8	it's been appropriately authenticated. He said he recognized
	9	it as a NADDIS printout from the DEA. I laid the foundation
3:15PM	10	and he said that.
	11	THE COURT: But if I let Mr. Singer voir dire, does he
	12	know exactly where this came from, does he know how it was
	13	produced, does he know where it came from?
	14	MR. TRIPI: My argument would be that's a weight not
3:16PM	15	admissible objection.
	16	THE COURT: No, I don't think so. I think that is an
	17	admissible question. We don't know if it's the real document
	18	or not and Dicarlo can testify to that.
	19	MR. TRIPI: We got it from him. It's in court.
3:16PM	20	THE COURT: I understand.
	21	MR. TRIPI: Judge, it's an authentic document.
	22	THE COURT: I understand and I think I will allow it
	23	subject to authentication by Dicarlo later on.
	24	MR. SINGER: And the other problem is the hearsay within
3:16PM	25	the hearsay aspect. So it's not just information pertaining

		Kasprzyk - Redirect - Tripi
3:16PM	1	to an entry regarding Peter Gerace when he was put in the
	2	system. It lays out factual case details on his case and
	3	other cases which is all hearsay.
	4	MR. TRIPI: That could be part of the business record,
3:16PM	5	the whole entire file that comes in is a DEA record down the
	6	road.
	7	THE COURT: But the point
	8	MR. TRIPI: I gave you the record itself, Judge, there's
	9	not the fact
3:17PM	10	(Court reporter interrupted for clarification.)
	11	MR. TRIPI: I'm sorry, Rob, the fact that he's in NADDIS
	12	going back to 1992, which is why I showed it to you, is
	13	clearly relevant to the testimony that was offered here
	14	today. Not pending. Pending meant that there is no number.
3:17PM	15	He's had a number. Gerace has had a number since 1992.
	16	THE COURT: So can we submit the document subject to
	17	redaction of the stuff that's in there? You want to admit
	18	only for the purpose that he had it?
	19	MR. TRIPI: I'm really only interested in the fact that
3:17PM	20	he's had a NADDIS number since 1992.
	21	THE COURT: We're going to admit it subject to
	22	redaction.
	23	And anything that's hearsay, Mr. Singer, you can tell me
	24	what's hearsay within hearsay. Anything hearsay within
3:17PM	25	hearsay can be redacted.

3:17PM	1	MR. SINGER: Anything other than the the only reason
	2	they're admitting the record is to show the date. Other than
	3	that, it would just would be hearsay.
	4	THE COURT: To show he's in the system and a date.
3:18PM	5	MR. TRIPI: Hearsay requires dates of assertions and
	6	dates of entry is not assertions.
	7	THE COURT: No, the date can come in, and the fact that
	8	there is the record and so can anything else that's not an
	9	assertion. I haven't looked at the record close enough.
3:18PM	10	Mr. Singer is telling me that there are things in there that
	11	constitute assertions that are factual statements that may
	12	not that may be hearsay within hearsay. That could very
	13	well be the case. Just because it's a business record
	14	doesn't mean that everything in it comes in.
3:18PM	15	MR. TRIPI: For purposes of finishing this witness, I'll
	16	lead on it a couple of questions that don't go into the
	17	underlying document. Then we can work it out later on, if
	18	that works, just to move it along.
	19	THE COURT: Yes.
3:18PM	20	(Open court:)
	21	Q Mr. Kasprzyk, have you had an opportunity to review
	22	Government Exhibit 437?
	23	A Yes, sir, I have.
	24	Q And did you recognize that document as a NADDIS
3:19PM	25	report for a Peter Gerace?

MR. TRIPI: Yes, Judge.

3:19PM

3:19PM

3:19PM

3:19PM

3:20PM

3:20PM

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's admitted with those limitations.

MR. TRIPI: At this juncture, I understand, Judge.

THE COURT: So we're not going to show it to the jury until it's been fully authenticated.

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR — Document 1485 — Filed 04/13/25 — Page 183 of 186 — —
		183 Kasprzyk - Recross - Singer
3:20PM	1	MR. TRIPI: Understood.
	2	THE COURT: Mr. Singer, anything more?
	3	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SINGER:
	4	Q Mr. Kasprzyk, you testified about Government
3:20PM	5	Exhibit 30A, right?
	6	A 30A is which exhibit, sir?
	7	Q Certainly.
	8	MR. SINGER: Ms. Champoux, if you could just put that
	9	back up on the screen, this document?
3:20PM	10	A Yes, sir, thank you.
	11	Q It's the DEA-6, correct?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	Q And you testified that your information on this was
	14	limited to what's provided in the document?
3:20PM	15	A Correct.
	16	Q But that's not accurate, right?
	17	A What's provided in the document and what
	18	conversations I had with Joseph Bongiovanni.
	19	Q That's what I'm getting at.
3:21PM	20	A Yeah.
	21	Q Besides reading this document, you also had
	22	conversations with Mr. Bongiovanni about what was going on in
	23	this case, right?
	24	A Correct.
3:21PM	25	Q You had conversations with SSA Jansewicz, correct?

	Case	1:19 cr 00227 LJV MJR - Document 1485 - Filed 04/13/25 - Page 184 of 186
		184 Kasprzyk - Recross - Singer
		naspili neeless singel
3:21PM	1	A Yes, sir.
	2	Q So what you said there on redirect was not a
	3	hundred percent correct, correct?
	4	A You're going to have to repeat your question, sir.
3:21PM	5	Q What you said on redirect about "I only know
	6	information based on this document", that's not correct?
	7	MR. TRIPI: Objection. The question was relating to
	8	specifically to what was represented of observations of the
	9	document.
3:21PM	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	11	A Again, your question?
	12	Q Again, your information that you got about this
	13	case was not solely limited to this document, right?
	14	A Correct.
3:21PM	15	${f Q}$ Okay. And the same thing goes for Exhibit 8A-7.
	16	MR. SINGER: Ms. Champoux, can you put this one down and
	17	put that up on the screen again.
	18	Q So, similar to you testified on redirect you only
	19	knew what was information based on this one operations plan,
3:22PM	20	correct?
	21	A Correct.
	22	Q But that's not a hundred percent true, as well,
	23	correct?

The information that I know about this case came

from the operational plan that was presented to me by GS John

24

25

3:22PM

A

	Case	
		185 Kasprzyk - Recross - Singer
3:22PM	1	Flickinger.
	2	Q Exactly. So that's what I'm getting at.
	3	In addition to this operation plan and rating, you
	4	also had a sitdown with GS Flickinger, right?
3:22PM	5	A Correct.
	6	Q That's something you do on all operations, correct,
	7	in the office, right?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And something that the GS did, right?
3:22PM	10	A Yes, sir.
	11	Q That conversation I realize was too long ago to
	12	remember the details but you would at least follow the
	13	procedure of GS Flickinger telling you why this operation
	14	should move forward, right?
3:22PM	15	A Yes, sir.
	16	Q And so that's another piece of information that you
	17	have when making a decision about what to do here in this
	18	situation with the operation, right?
	19	A Yes, sir.
3:22PM	20	Q Okay.
	21	MR. SINGER: That's all I have, Judge. Thank you.
	22	THE COURT: Anything more?
	23	MR. TRIPI: No, your Honor. Thank you.
	24	THE COURT: You can step down.
3:23PM	25	(WHEREUPON, witness excused.)

```
Document 1485 Filed 04/13/25
                                                                             186
                            Kasprzyk - Recross - Singer
3:23PM
           1
           2
           3
                                   CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
           4
           5
                          In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I
           6
           7
               certify that these original notes are a true and correct
               record of proceedings in the United States District Court
           8
           9
               of the Western District of New York before the
          10
               Honorable Lawrence J. Vilardo on August 5, 2024.
          11
          12
          13
               S/ Diane S. Martens
          14
               Diane S. Martens, FCRR, RPR
               Official Court Reporter
          15
          16
          17
          18
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
```